## POLYTECH

## How To Route Packets

$\square$ Unicast Routing
-Distance Vector Basics
■ink State Basics

## Unicast Routing

$\square$ Routing functionalities are fundamental for internetworking
$\square$ In TCP/IP networks:

- Routing allows the communication of two nodes A and B not directly connected



## Unicast Routing

$\square$ Layer 3 entities along the path route (choose the exit SAP) packets according to the destination address
$\square$ The correspondence Exit SAP - destination address is stored in the routing table


## Routing Protocol

$\square$ Comprises two different functionalities

- Info exchange on network topology, traffic, etc. (1)
- routing table creation and maintenance (2)
$\square$ Formally, (1) is the routing protocol
$\square$ Practically, (1) and (2) are joint phases. The way the routing tables are created depends on the routing message exchange and viceversa


## Routing Algorithms

$\square$ A routing algorithm defines the criteria on how to choose a path between a source and a destination...
$\square$...and builds the routing tables
$\square$ The choice criteria depend on the type of network (datagram, virtual circuit)

## Routing and Network Capacity

$\square$ In broadcast networks no need of routing
$\square$ Thus the maximum supported traffic depends on the capacity of the channel
$\square$ In meshed IP networks, multiple links can be used at the same time
$\square$ Thus, WHICH links are used has a deep impact on the network capacity

## Routing and Capacity

$\square$ Dumb Routing Planning

Link Capacity = C
Max Traffic = C


## Routing and Capacity

$\square$ Wise routing planning
$\Rightarrow$ Link Capacity $=$ C
$\rightarrow$ Max Traffic = 3C


## Routing in the Internet

$\square$ The type of forwarding impacts the routing policy
$\square$ IP forwarding is:

- destination-based
- next-hop based
$\square$ Consequence:
- All the packs destined to $D$ arriving at router $R$ follow the same path after $R$



## Routing in the Internet

$\square$ Thus, we have the following constraints on the routing:

- All the paths from all the sources to a destination D must form a tree, for each D

- Source-Destination Couples cannot be routed independently from other couples.


## Shortest Path Routing

$\square$ TCP/IP Routing: the shortest path to a destination is chosen
$\square$ The computation of the shortest path is performed on the graph representing the network (device=vertex, link=edge, edge weight=metrics)
$\square$ Shortest Path properties:

- All the paths to a destination form a tree
- Easy and simple algorithms (polynomial complexity, even distributed)


## Routing Algorithms

A Flavor of Graph Theory Bellman-Ford algorithm
Dyijkstra algorithm

## Some Definition on Graphs

$\square$ digraph $G(N, A)$
■ $N$ nodes

- $A=\{(i, j), i \in N, j \in N\}$ edges (ordered couple of nodes)
$\square$ path: $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{1}\right)$ set of nodes with ( $n_{i,}$ $\left.n_{i+1}\right) \in A$, without repeated nodes
$\square$ cycle: route with $n_{1}=n_{\text {, }}$
$\square$ Connected digraph: for each couple $i$ and $j$ at least one path from i to $j$ exists
$\square$ Weighted digraph: $d_{i j}$ weights associated to the edge $(i, j) \in A$
$\square$ Path $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{1}\right)$ length :

$$
d_{n 1, n 2}+d_{n 2, n 3}+\ldots+d_{n(l-1), n 1}
$$

## Finding the Shortest Path

Given $G(N, A)$ and two nodes $i$ and $j$, find the path with minimum length
$\square$ The problem has polynomial complexity in the number of nodes

## Property:

If node $k$ is traversed by the shortest path from $i$ to $j$, also the path from $i$ to $k$ is the shortest

## Bellman-Ford Algorithm

$\square$ Assumptions:
■ Positive-negative weights

- No negative cycles
$\square$ Target:
- Find the shortest paths from a source to all the other nodes
- Find the shortest paths from all the nodes to a destination


## Bellman-Ford Algorithm

$\square$ Variables:

- $D_{i}^{(h)}$ : length of the shortest path from the source (assumed to be node 1) to node $i$ with a number of hops $\leq h$
$\square$ Initialization: $\quad D_{1}^{(h)}=0 \quad \forall h$

$$
D_{i}^{(0)}=\infty \quad \forall i \neq 1
$$

$\square$ Iterations:

$$
D_{i}^{(h+1)}=\min \left[D_{i}^{(h)}, \min _{j}\left(D_{j}^{(h)}+d_{j i}\right)\right]
$$

$\square$ The algorithm stops after N -1 iterations

## An Example

$\square$ Initialization


- $D_{s}{ }^{h}=0$
- $D_{1}{ }^{0}=\mathrm{inf}$
- $D_{2}{ }^{0}=\mathrm{inf}$
$\square$ First Iteration
- $D_{1}{ }^{1}=\min \left(D_{1}{ }^{0}, D_{s}{ }^{0}+1\right)=1, N H: S$
- $D_{2}{ }^{1}=\min \left(D_{2}{ }^{0}, D_{s}{ }^{0}+3\right)=3, N H: S$
$\square$ Second Iteration
- $D_{1}{ }^{2}=\min \left(D_{1}{ }^{1}, D_{2}{ }^{1}+1\right)=1, N H: S$
- $D_{2}{ }^{2}=\min \left(D_{2}{ }^{1}, D_{1}{ }^{1}+1\right)=2, N H: 1$


## Distributed BelIman-Ford

$\square$ It can be shown that the algorithm does converge in a finite number of iterations, even in its distributed form
$\square$ Nodes periodically send out their estimation of the shortest path and update such estimation according to the rule:
$D_{j}$

$$
D_{i}:=\min \left[D_{i}, \min _{j}\left(D_{j}+d_{j i}\right)\right]
$$

## Bellman-Ford in practice

$\square$ Each node is assigned a label ( $n, L$ ) where $n$ is the next hop on the path and $L$ is the path length
$\square$ Each node updates its label looking at its neighbors' labels
$\square$ When the labels do not change any longer the shortest path tree can be built

## Example: Bellman-Ford



## Dijkstra Algorithm

$\square$ Assumptions:
■ Positive weighted edges
$\square$ Target:
■ Find out the shortest paths form a source node (1) and all the other nodes
$\square$ Initialization:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=\{1\}, \\
& D_{1}=0, \quad D_{j}^{(0)}=d_{1 j} \quad \forall j \neq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

- $d_{i j}=\infty$ if the edge $\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{j}$ does not exist


## Dijkstra Algorithms

1. find $\mathrm{i} \in(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{P})$ :

$$
D_{i}=\min _{j \in(\mathbb{N}-\mathrm{P})} D_{j}
$$

and set

$$
\mathrm{P}:=\mathrm{P} \cup\{\mathrm{i}\} . \text { If } \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{N} \text {, then STOP. }
$$

2. for each $\mathrm{j} \in(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{P})$ neighbor of any node in $P$ set :

$$
D_{j}=\min \left[D_{j}, \min _{k}\left(D_{k}+d_{k j}\right)\right]
$$

3.GoTol.

## Dijkstra in practice

$\square$ Same label criteria as Bellman-Ford
$\square$ Label can be temporary or permanent
$\square$ In the beginning, the only permanent label is the one of the source
$\square$ At each iteration, the temporary label with the lowest cost of the path is made permanent

## Example: Dijkstra



## On Complexity

$\square$ Bellman-Ford:

- $\mathrm{N}-1$ iterations

■ N-1 nodes to be checked each iteration

- N-1 comparisons per node
$\square$ Complexity: $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{3}\right)$
$\square$ Dijkstra:
- N-1 iterations
- N operations each iteration on average
$\square$ Complexity: $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{2}\right)$
$\square$ Dijkstra is generally more convenient


## Routing IP

$\square$ Sends packet on the shortest path to the destination
$\square$ The length of the path is measured according to a given metrics
$\square$ The shortest path computation is implemented in a distributed way through a routing protocol
$\square$ In the routing table, only the next hop is stored, thanks to the property that sub-paths of a shortest path are shortest themselves.

## Routing Protocols

$\square$ Handle the message exchange among routers to compute the paths to a destination
$\square$ Two classes
■ Distance Vector (RIP, IGRP)
■ Link State (OSPF,IS-IS)
$\square$ Differences

- Type of metrics

■ Type of messages exchanged
■ Type of procedures used to exchange messages

Distance Vector Routing Protocols

## Distance Vector Protocols

$\square$ Routers exchange specific connectivity information: the Distance Vector (DV):
[destination address, distance]
$\square$ DV is sent only to directly connected routers
$\square$ DV is sent periodically and/or whenever the network topology changes
$\square$ Distance estimation is performed using Bellman-Ford distributed algorithm

## Distance Vector: Algorithm

$\square$ DV reception

1. Increase the distance to the specified destination of the current link cost
2. For each specified destination

- If the destination is not in the routing table
$\square$ Add destination/distance
- Otherwise
$\square$ If the next hop in the routing table is the DV sender
- Update the stored information with the new one
$\square$ Otherwise
- If the stored distance to the destination is bigger to the one specified in the DV
- Update the stored info with the new one

3. End

## Distance Vector

$\square$ DV is sent

- periodically
- Whenever something changes upon the reception of another DV
$\square$ Routers calculate distances if:
■ A new DV is received
- Something changes in the local network topology (local link failure)

Computation: $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}=\min _{\mathrm{k}}\left[\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{kj}}\right]$


## Routing Tables Update



Rules
Net1: No news, don't change
Net2: Same next hop, replace
Net3: A new router, add
Net6: Different next hop, new hop count smaller, replace
Net8: Different next hop, new hop count the same, don't change
Net9: Different next hop, new hop count larger, don't change

## Distance Vector Example (1)

$\square$ Simple Network Topology:


- Assume each link has cost $=1$


## Distance Vector Example (2)

$\square$ Assume all the nodes wake up at the same time
(ou cold start procedure
$\square$ Each node knows its local connectivity situation (directly connected links and interfaces)
$\square$ Start Up routing table for node A:

| From A To | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | local | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Distance Vector Example (3)

$\square$ A sets up its Distance Vector
A=0 and sends it out to all of its neighbors (on local links)
$\square B$ and D receive the DV and enlarge their knowledge of the network


## Distance Vector Example (4)

$\square$ Node B, upon reception of the Distance Vector, updates the distance adding the link cost ( $\mathrm{A}=1$ ) and checks the DV against its routing table. A is still unknown, thus routing table update

| From B To | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | local | 0 |
| A | 1 | 1 |

$\square$ The same thing for node D

| From D To | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D | local | 0 |
| A | 3 | 1 |

## Distance Vector Example (5)

ㅁ Node B prepares its DV ...

$$
B=0, A=1
$$

... and fires it through its local links
$\square$ The same for node D:


## Distance Vector Example (6)

$\square$ The DV from $B$ is received by $A, C$ and $E$ whilst that from $D$ is received by $A$ and $E$
$\square$ A receives the two DVs
From $B$ : $B=0, A=1$
From D: $D=0, A=1$
... and updates its routing table


| From A to | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | local | 0 |
| B | 1 | 1 |
| D | 3 | 1 |

## Distance Vector Example (7)

$\square C$ receives from $B$ on link 2

$$
B=0, A=1
$$

... and updates its routing table :


| From C to | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | local | 0 |
| B | 2 | 1 |
| A | 2 | 2 |

## Distance Vector Example (8)

$\square$ Node E receives from B on link 4 $B=0, A=1$
and from $D$ on link 6
$D=0, A=1$
... and updates its routing table
$\square$ Note that the distance to $A$ is the same through links 4 and 6

| From E To | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | local | 0 |
| B | 4 | 1 |
| A | 4 | 2 |
| D | 6 | 1 |

## Distance Vector Example (9)

$\square$ The nodes A, C and E have updated their routing tables, hence they transmit their own DVs:
node $A$ : $A=0, B=1, D=1$
node $C$ : $C=0, B=1, A=2$
node $\mathrm{E}: \mathrm{E}=0, \mathrm{~B}=1, \mathrm{~A}=2, \mathrm{D}=1$

## Distance Vector Example (10)

- Node B:

| B | local | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1 | 1 |

$A: A=0, B=1, D=1$
$C: C=0, B=1, A=2$
$E: E=0, B=1, A=2, D=1$

| From B T0 | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | local | 0 |
| A | 1 | 1 |
| D | 1 | 2 |
| C | 2 | 1 |
| E | 4 | 1 |

- Node D:

| D | local | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | 3 | 1 |

A: $A=0, B=1, D=1$
$E: E=0, B=1, A=2, D=1$

| From D T0 | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D | local | 0 |
| A | 3 | 1 |
| B | 3 | 2 |
| E | 6 | 1 |

$\square$ Node E

| E | Iocal | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | 4 | 1 |
| A | 4 | 2 |
| $D$ | 6 | 1 |

$$
C: C=0, B=1, A=2
$$

| From E vers0 | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | local | 0 |
| B | 4 | 1 |
| A | 4 | 2 |
| D | 6 | 1 |
| C | 5 | 1 |

## Distance Vector Example (11)

$\square$ The nodes B, D and E transmit their own DVs: node $B$ : $B=0, A=1, D=2, C=1, E=1$
node $D$ : $D=0, A=1, B=2, E=1$ node $E$ : $E=0, B=1, A=2, D=1, C=1$


## Distance Vector Example (12)

| $\square$ Node A: |  |  |  | From A To | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | A | local | 0 |
| A | local | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & B=0, A=1, D=2, C=1, E=1 \\ & D: D=0, A=1, B=2, E=1 \end{aligned}$ | B | 1 | 1 |
| B | 1 | 1 |  | D | 3 | 1 |
| D | 3 | 1 |  | C | 1 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | E | 1 | 2 |

$\square$ Node C:

| C | local | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B | 2 | 1 |
| $A$ | 2 | 2 |
| Node D |  |  |


$B=0, A=1, D=2, C=1, E=1$|  | From C To | Link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| $E=0, B=1, A=2, D=1, C=1$ | $C$ | local |
|  | $B$ | 2 |
|  | $A$ | 2 |
|  | $E$ | 5 |
|  | $D$ | 5 |


| D | Local | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 3 | 1 |
| B | 3 | 2 |
| E | 6 | 1 |

$$
E=0, B=1, A=2, D=1, C=1
$$

| FromD T0 | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D | local | 0 |
| A | 3 | 1 |
| B | 3 | 2 |
| E | 6 | 1 |
| C | 6 | 2 |

## Distance Vector Example (13)

$\square$ The algorithm has reached convergence
$\square$ The nodes keep transmitting their DVs periodically but the routing tables do not change


## Distance Vector: Link 1 Failure

$\square$ Link 1 goes down

$\square$ Nodes A and B get aware of the link failure
$\square$... and update their routing table assigning cost $=$ infinity to link 1

## Distance Vector: Link 1 Failure

| From A To | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | local | 0 |
| B | 1 | $1 \Rightarrow$ inf |
| D | 3 | 1 |
| C | 1 | $2 \Rightarrow$ inf |
| E | 1 | $2 \Rightarrow$ inf |


| From B To | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | local | 0 |
| A | 1 | $1 \Rightarrow$ inf |
| D | 1 | $2 \Rightarrow$ inf |
| C | 2 | 1 |
| E | 4 | 1 |

$\square$ New DVs are sent:
node $A$ : $A=0, B=$ inf, $D=1, C=i n f, E=i n f$ node $B$ : $B=0, A=$ inf, $D=$ inf, $C=1, E=1$

## Distance Vector: Link 1 Failure

$\square$ The DV from A is received by D, which compares it against its routing table
$\square \quad$ All the costs specified in the DV are greater or equal than the ones stored in the routing table, but node D updates its routing table since the link it receives the DV from is the one it uses to reach all the destinations


| From D to | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D | local | 0 |
| A | 3 | 1 |
| B | 3 | $2 \Rightarrow$ inf |
| E | 6 | 1 |
| C | 6 | 2 |

## Distance Vector: Link 1 Failure

$\square$ Also nodes C and E update their tables

| From C to | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | local | 0 |
| B | 2 | 1 |
| A | 2 | $2 \Rightarrow$ inf |
| E | 5 | 1 |
| D | 5 | 2 |


| From E to | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | local | 0 |
| B | 4 | 1 |
| A | 4 | $2 \Rightarrow$ inf |
| D | 6 | 1 |
| C | 5 | 1 |

## Distance Vector: Link 1 Failure

$\square$ nodes $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{C}$ and E transmit their DVs node $\mathrm{D}: \mathrm{D}=0, \mathrm{~A}=1, \mathrm{~B}=$ inf, $\mathrm{E}=1, \mathrm{C}=2$
node $C$ : $C=0, B=1, A=$ inf, $E=1, D=2$
node E : $\mathrm{E}=0, \mathrm{~B}=1, \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{inf}, \mathrm{D}=1, \mathrm{C}=1$

## Distance Vector: Link 1 Failure

$\square$ These DVs update the tables of $A, B, D$ and E

| From A to | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | local | 0 |
| B | 1 | $\inf$ |
| D | 3 | 1 |
| C | $1 \Rightarrow 3$ | $\inf \Rightarrow 3$ |
| E | $1 \Rightarrow 3$ | $\inf \Rightarrow 2$ |


| From B To | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | local | 0 |
| A | 1 | $\inf$ |
| D | $1 \Rightarrow 4$ | $\inf \Rightarrow 2$ |
| C | 2 | 1 |
| E | 4 | 1 |


| From D To | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D | local | 0 |
| A | 3 | 1 |
| B | $3 \Rightarrow 6$ | inf $\Rightarrow 2$ |
| E | $\mathbf{6}$ | 1 |
| C | 6 | 2 |


| From E To | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | local | 0 |
| B | 4 | 1 |
| A | $4 \Rightarrow 6$ | $\inf \Rightarrow 2$ |
| D | 6 | 1 |
| C | 5 | 1 |

## Distance Vector: Link 1 Failure

- Nodes A,B,D and E transmit the new DVs

$$
\text { node } A: A=0, B=\text { inf, } D=1, C=3, E=2
$$

node $B$ : $B=0, A=$ inf, $D=2, C=1, E=1$
node $D$ : $D=0, A=1, B=2, E=1, C=2$
node $E$ : $E=0, B=1, A=2, D=1, C=1$
$\square A, B$ and $C$ update their tables

| From A To | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | local | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| B | $\mathbf{1} \Rightarrow \mathbf{3}$ | $\inf \Rightarrow \mathbf{3}$ |
| D | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| C | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| E | $\mathbf{3}$ | 2 |

- The algorithm has reached a new steady state !!!

| From B To | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | local | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| A | $1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{4}$ | inf $\Rightarrow \mathbf{3}$ |
| D | 4 | 2 |
| C | 2 | 1 |
| E | 4 | 1 |
| From C To | Link | Cost |
| C | local | 0 |
| B | 2 | 1 |
| A | $2 \Rightarrow 5$ | inf $\Rightarrow 3$ |
| E | 5 | 1 |
| D | 5 | 2 |

## Distance Vector: Main Features

$\square$ PROs:

- Very easy
$\square$ CONs:
- High time to convergence
- Limited by the lowest node
- Possible loops
- Instability in big networks
(counting to infinity)


## Convergence Time


$\square$ Grows proportionally with the number of nodes (Low Scalability)

## Distance Vector: counting to infinity

$\square$ Suppose link 6 goes down


## Distance Vector: counting to infinity

$\square$ Node D detects link 6 failure and updates its routing table

| From D To | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D | local | 0 |
| A | 3 | 1 |
| B | 6 | $2 \Rightarrow$ inf |
| E | 6 | $1 \Rightarrow$ inf |
| C | 6 | $2 \Rightarrow$ inf |

$\square$ if D immediately transmits the new DV, node A updates its routing table (the only reachable node is D)

## Distance Vector: counting to infinity

$\square$ Buf if node A transmits its DV before D; what happens?

$$
\text { node } A: A=0, B=3, D=1, C=3, E=2
$$

node D updates its routing table !!!

| From D To | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D | local | 0 |
| A | 3 | 1 |
| B | $6 \Rightarrow 3$ | $\inf \Rightarrow 4$ |
| E | $6 \Rightarrow 3$ | inf $\Rightarrow 3$ |
| C | $6 \Rightarrow 3$ | inf $\Rightarrow 4$ |

$\square$ A loop is created between nodes A and D
$\square$ The algorithm does not reach convergence
$\square$ At each step the distances to $B, C$ and $E$ grows by 2 counting to infinity

## Counting to infinity: Remedies

$\square$ Hop Count Limit:

- The counting to infinity is broken if infinity is represented by a finite value
- Such value must be bigger than the length of the longest path in the network
- When any distance reaches such value the corresponding node is declared unreachable
■ During the counting to infinity :
$\square$ Packets loop
$\square$ Congested links
$\square$ High packet loss probability (including routing packets)
* Convergence may be very slow


## Counting to infinity: Remedies

$\square$ Split-Horizon:

- if node $A$ sends to $D$ the packets meant for $X$, it's pointless for $A$ to announce $X$ in its own DV to $D$

- node A does not advertise to D the destination X


## Distance Vector: Split Horizon

$\square$ Node A sends different DV on different local links
$\square$ Two Flavors of Split Horizon:

- Basic: the node omits any information on the destination which it reaches through the link it is using
- Poisonous Reverse: the node includes all the destinations, setting to infinity the distance to those reachable through the link it is using
$\square$ Split Horizon does not work with some topologies


## Distance Vector: Split Horizon


$\square$ when link 6 goes down this is the situation of nodes B,C and E

| From | Link | Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| B to D | 4 | 2 |
| C to D | 5 | 2 |
| E to D | 6 | $1 \Rightarrow$ inf |

## Distance Vector: Split Horizon

$\square$ Node E advertises on links 4 and 5 that the distance to $D$ is infinity
$\square$ Suppose that such message is received by B but not by C (for example, due to an error on such routing message/packet)

| From | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B to D | 4 | $2 \Rightarrow$ inf |
| C to D | 5 | 2 |
| E to D | 6 | inf |

## Distance Vector: Split Horizon

$\square$ Node C fires its DV (Split Horizon with Poisonous Reverse On)

- To node E : $\mathrm{C}=0, \mathrm{~B}=1, \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{inf}, \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{inf}, \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{inf}$
$\square$ On link 5 to reach $D$ costs infinity
- to node B : $\mathrm{C}=0, \mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{inf}, \mathrm{A}=3, \mathrm{E}=1, \mathrm{D}=2$
$\square$ On link 2 to reach D costs 2



## Distance Vector: Split Horizon

$\square$ B updates its routing table and sends its DV (Split Horizon Poisonous Reverse On):

- on link 2 D is reachable with cost = infinity
- on link 4 D is reachable with cost 3
$\square$ nodes $B, C$ and $E$ :

| From | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B to $D$ | $\mathbf{4} \Rightarrow \mathbf{2}$ | inf $\Rightarrow \mathbf{3}$ |
| C to D | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| E to D | $\mathbf{6} \Rightarrow 4$ | inf $\Rightarrow 4$ |

$\square$ loop among nodes B,C and E until the cost threshold is reached
$\square$ AGAIN counting to infinity

## Counting to infinity: remedies

$\square$ Use of Counters/Timers (Hold down)

- If for Tinvalid no info from the first hop to a specific destination, destination is no longer valid (not advertised in the DVs, DVs from other nodes skipped)
- after Tflush the route is flushed
- Tinvalid - Tflush must be set so that the new information propagate within the whole network
- Invalid routes advertised with distance = infinity
- Nodes receiving an invalid route set the route as invalid themselves


## Counting to infinity: remedies

$\square$ Triggered Update

- Explicit advertisement of the changes in the topology
■ Speed up convergence
- Prompt failures discovery


## Link State Routing Protocols

## Link State Routing Protocols

$\square$ Each node knows neighboring nodes and the relative costs to reach them
$\square$ Each node sends to ALL the other nodes such information (flooding) through Link State Packet (LSP)
$\square$ All the nodes keep a LSP data base and a complete map of the network topology (graph)
$\square$ On the complete graph shortest paths are computed using Dijkstra

## Link State: PROs

$\square$ Flexibility and Optimality in the path definition (complete map of the network topology)
$\square$ LSP information is not sent periodically but only when something changes
$\square$ All the nodes get promptly aware of any change in the network topology

## Link State: CONs

$\square$ Signaling protocol required to keep the topological information (Hello)
$\square$ flooding needed
$\square$ LSP must be acknowledged
$\square$ Difficult to implement

## Link State: example



## Flooding

$\square$ Each entering packet is transmitted through all the interfaces except the incoming one
$\square$ possible loops and consequent traffic congestion
$\square$ Sequence number (SN) + SN database in each node to avoid multiple transmissions of the same packet
$\square$ Hop counter (same as TTL in IP)

## Example

$\square$ Each node owns a LSP data base


## Example

$\square$ The LSP data base represents the network topology

| From | To | Link | Cost | Sequence Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| A | D | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| B | A | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| B | C | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| B | E | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| C | B | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| C | E | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| D | A | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| D | E | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| E | B | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| E | C | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| E | D | 6 | 1 | 1 |

$\square$ Each node can easily calculate the shortest path to all the other nodes in the network

## Upon reception of an LSP

$\square$ If the LSP has not been received yet or if the SN is greater than the one already stored:

- Store the new LSP
- Apply the flooding
$\square$ If the LSP has the same SN of the one stored
- Do nothing
$\square$ If the LSP is older than the one stored
- Transmit the newer one to the sender


## Link State: Example

$\square$ The routing protocol must update the network topology whenever something changes

$\square$ link 1 failure is detected by nodes $A$ and $B$ which send an LS update packet on links 3, 2 and 4 node A: From A, To B, Link 1, Cost=inf, Number=2 node B: From B, To A, link 1, Cost= inf, Number=2

## Link State: Example

$\square$ The messages are received by nodes D,E and $C$ which update their data base and flood on the local links
$\square$ The new data base after flooding is:

| From | To | Link | Cost | Sequence Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1} \Rightarrow$ inf | $\mathbf{1} \Rightarrow \mathbf{2}$ |
| A | D | 3 | 1 | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| B | A | 1 | $1 \Rightarrow$ inf | $\mathbf{1} \Rightarrow \mathbf{2}$ |
| B | C | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| B | E | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| C | B | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| C | E | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| D | A | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| D | E | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| E | B | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| E | C | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| E | D | 6 | 1 | 1 |

