# Unbiased Online Recurrent Optimization

# Corentin TALLEC

LRI & Paris-Saclay University, France

Supervised by Yann OLLIVIER

GT Deepnet February 16, 2017

 Goal: to train dynamical systems, recurrent networks, reinforcement learning systems... while they are running, without the "backward in time" analysis that comes with backpropagation through time,

- Goal: to train dynamical systems, recurrent networks, reinforcement learning systems... while they are running, without the "backward in time" analysis that comes with backpropagation through time,
- ... at the same cost as just running the system,

- Goal: to train dynamical systems, recurrent networks, reinforcement learning systems... while they are running, without the "backward in time" analysis that comes with backpropagation through time,
- ... at the same cost as just running the system,
  ... but with (reasonable) additional noise.

- Goal: to train dynamical systems, recurrent networks, reinforcement learning systems... while they are running, without the "backward in time" analysis that comes with backpropagation through time,
- ... at the same cost as just running the system,
  ... but with (reasonable) additional noise.
- Not relevant if you have a large number of short training sequences
- Relevant if you have a small number of long training sequences, or only one training sequence (e.g., life).

Algorithms to train dynamical systems

p = #params

Algorithm	Cost per	Store past	Store past
	time step	states	data

Algorithms to train dynamical systems

p = #params

Algorithm	Cost per	Store past	Store past
	time step	states	data
Backprop through time	<i>O</i> ( <i>p</i> )	$O(\sqrt{T})$	O(T)
truncated to length $T$	biased		

Algorithms to train dynamical systems

p = #params

Algorithm	Cost per	Store past	Store past
	time step	states	data
Backprop through time	<i>O</i> ( <i>p</i> )	$O(\sqrt{T})$	O(T)
truncated to length $T$	biased		
RTRL	$O(p^2)$	No	No
?	<i>O</i> ( <i>p</i> )	No	No

#### Recurrent nets as dynamical systems

Problem: how to train a dynamical system defined by

 $s_{t+1} = F(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta)$ 

- ► s<sub>t</sub>: internal state of the system at time t
- ► x<sub>t+1</sub>: external input signal
- F: transition function, fixed
- $\theta$ : parameter to be trained

#### Recurrent nets as dynamical systems

Problem: how to train a dynamical system defined by

 $s_{t+1} = F(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta)$ 

- s<sub>t</sub>: internal state of the system at time t
- ► x<sub>t+1</sub>: external input signal
- ► F: transition function, fixed
- $\theta$ : parameter to be trained

Goal: minimize some loss function  $\ell_t = \ell(s_t)$  along the trajectories of the system.

#### Recurrent nets as dynamical systems

Problem: how to train a dynamical system defined by

 $s_{t+1} = F(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta)$ 

- s<sub>t</sub>: internal state of the system at time t
- x<sub>t+1</sub>: external input signal
- F: transition function, fixed
- $\theta$ : parameter to be trained

Goal: minimize some loss function  $\ell_t = \ell(s_t)$  along the trajectories of the system.

Examples: RNNs, LSTMs, GRUs, ...

Simple strategy: online gradient descent over the loss at time *t*,

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta - \eta \, \frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial \theta}$$

with learning rate  $\eta$ .

Simple strategy: online gradient descent over the loss at time t,

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta - \eta \, \frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial \theta}$$

with learning rate  $\eta$ .

Problem: how to compute the derivative  $\frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial \theta}$ ? Current loss depends on  $\theta$  via whole past trajectory.

Simple strategy: online gradient descent over the loss at time t,

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta - \eta \, \frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial \theta}$$

with learning rate  $\eta$ .

Problem: how to compute the derivative  $\frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial \theta}$ ? Current loss depends on  $\theta$  via whole past trajectory.

Standard approach to compute  $\frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial \theta}$ : backpropagation through time (BPTT). Problems: goes back in time, keep track of past history...

For instance, let us compute how the current loss  $\ell_t$  depends on the starting point  $s_0$ :

$$\frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial s_0} =$$

For instance, let us compute how the current loss  $\ell_t$  depends on the starting point  $s_0$ :

$$\frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial s_0} = \frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial s_t} \times \frac{\partial s_t}{\partial s_{t-1}} \times \cdots \times \frac{\partial s_1}{\partial s_0}$$

For instance, let us compute how the current loss  $\ell_t$  depends on the starting point  $s_0$ :

$$\frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial s_0} = \frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial s_t} \times \frac{\partial s_t}{\partial s_{t-1}} \times \dots \times \frac{\partial s_1}{\partial s_0}$$

= vector  $\times$  matrix  $\times \cdots \times$  matrix

For instance, let us compute how the current loss  $\ell_t$  depends on the starting point  $s_0$ :

$$\frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial s_0} = \frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial s_t} \times \frac{\partial s_t}{\partial s_{t-1}} \times \cdots \times \frac{\partial s_1}{\partial s_0}$$

= vector  $\times$  matrix  $\times \cdots \times$  matrix

Left-to-right: OK, only vector  $\times$  matrix multiplications. That's BPTT.

Right-to-left: matrix-matrix multiplications, must store a matrix.

For instance, let us compute how the current loss  $\ell_t$  depends on the starting point  $s_0$ :

$$\frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial s_0} = \frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial s_t} \times \frac{\partial s_t}{\partial s_{t-1}} \times \cdots \times \frac{\partial s_1}{\partial s_0}$$

= vector  $\times$  matrix  $\times \cdots \times$  matrix

Left-to-right: OK, only vector  $\times$  matrix multiplications. That's BPTT.

Right-to-left: matrix-matrix multiplications, must store a matrix.  $\implies$  only for small networks. Known as real-time recurrent learning (RTRL).

For instance, let us compute how the current loss  $\ell_t$  depends on the starting point  $s_0$ :

$$\frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial s_0} = \frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial s_t} \times \frac{\partial s_t}{\partial s_{t-1}} \times \cdots \times \frac{\partial s_1}{\partial s_0}$$

= vector  $\times$  matrix  $\times \cdots \times$  matrix

Left-to-right: OK, only vector  $\times$  matrix multiplications. That's BPTT.

Right-to-left: matrix-matrix multiplications, must store a matrix.  $\implies$  only for small networks. Known as real-time recurrent learning (RTRL).

Same forward-backward structure in many problems: hidden Markov models (EM), reinforcement learning and optimal control (Bellman equations)...

If you cannot travel back in time...

Algorithms that go forward in time must maintain the gradient of the current state with respect to the parameters:

$$G_t := \frac{\partial s_t}{\partial \theta}$$

and then compute the gradient of the loss via the chain rule

$$\frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial s_t} \frac{\partial s_t}{\partial \theta}$$

If you cannot travel back in time...

Algorithms that go forward in time must maintain the gradient of the current state with respect to the parameters:

$$G_t := \frac{\partial s_t}{\partial \theta}$$

and then compute the gradient of the loss via the chain rule

$$\frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial s_t} \frac{\partial s_t}{\partial \theta}$$

 $G_t$  is a full object of size dim(state)×dim(param).

If you cannot travel back in time...

Algorithms that go forward in time must maintain the gradient of the current state with respect to the parameters:

$$G_t := \frac{\partial s_t}{\partial \theta}$$

and then compute the gradient of the loss via the chain rule

$$\frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial s_t} \frac{\partial s_t}{\partial \theta}$$

 $G_t$  is a full object of size dim(state)×dim(param).

Sometimes, cannot even store  $G_t$ .

• At each time, maintain a search direction  $\tilde{\theta}_t$  in parameter space,

• At each time, maintain a search direction  $\tilde{\theta}_t$  in parameter space, together with an estimate  $\tilde{s}_t$  of the effect of  $\tilde{\theta}$  on the current state  $s_t$ .

- At each time, maintain a search direction  $\tilde{\theta}_t$  in parameter space, together with an estimate  $\tilde{s}_t$  of the effect of  $\tilde{\theta}$  on the current state  $s_t$ .
- ► Have the search direction  $\tilde{\theta}_t$  evolve stochastically, but not fully at random, in a way driven by how the transition function *F* depends on the parameter  $\theta$ .

- At each time, maintain a search direction  $\tilde{\theta}_t$  in parameter space, together with an estimate  $\tilde{s}_t$  of the effect of  $\tilde{\theta}$  on the current state  $s_t$ .
- ► Have the search direction  $\tilde{\theta}_t$  evolve stochastically, but not fully at random, in a way driven by how the transition function F depends on the parameter  $\theta$ .

- At each time, maintain a search direction  $\tilde{\theta}_t$  in parameter space, together with an estimate  $\tilde{s}_t$  of the effect of  $\tilde{\theta}$  on the current state  $s_t$ .
- ► Have the search direction  $\tilde{\theta}_t$  evolve stochastically, but not fully at random, in a way driven by how the transition function F depends on the parameter  $\theta$ .
- ► Can be arranged so that, at each time, š<sub>t</sub> θ<sub>t</sub><sup>T</sup> is an unbiased estimate of ∂s<sub>t</sub> ∂θ: Eš<sub>t</sub> θ<sub>t</sub><sup>T</sup> = G<sub>t</sub>
- ► Unbiased estimate of G<sub>t</sub> ⇒ unbiased estimate of the gradient of the loss function ℓ<sub>t</sub> wrt the parameter

- At each time, maintain a search direction  $\tilde{\theta}_t$  in parameter space, together with an estimate  $\tilde{s}_t$  of the effect of  $\tilde{\theta}$  on the current state  $s_t$ .
- ► Have the search direction  $\tilde{\theta}_t$  evolve stochastically, but not fully at random, in a way driven by how the transition function F depends on the parameter  $\theta$ .
- ► Can be arranged so that, at each time, š<sub>t</sub> θ<sub>t</sub><sup>T</sup> is an unbiased estimate of ∂s<sub>t</sub> ∂θ: Eš<sub>t</sub> θ<sub>t</sub><sup>T</sup> = G<sub>t</sub>
- ► Unbiased estimate of G<sub>t</sub> ⇒ unbiased estimate of the gradient of the loss function ℓ<sub>t</sub> wrt the parameter
- ► The estimates are noisy but unbiased ⇒ over time the parameter evolves in the correct direction.

 $s_{t+1} = F(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta)$ 

$$s_{t+1} = F(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta)$$
  $G_t := \frac{\partial s_t}{\partial \theta}$ 

$$s_{t+1} = F(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta)$$
  $G_t := \frac{\partial s_t}{\partial \theta}$ 

 $\sim$ 

Taking the derivative of the evolution equation wrt  $\theta$  we get

$$G_{t+1} = rac{\partial F}{\partial heta}(x_{t+1}, s_t, heta) +$$

$$s_{t+1} = F(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta)$$
  $G_t := \frac{\partial s_t}{\partial \theta}$ 

 $\sim$ 

Taking the derivative of the evolution equation wrt  $\theta$  we get

$$G_{t+1} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta}(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta) \cdot G_t$$

$$s_{t+1} = F(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta)$$
  $G_t := \frac{\partial s_t}{\partial \theta}$ 

~

Taking the derivative of the evolution equation wrt  $\theta$  we get

$$G_{t+1} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta}(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta) \cdot G_t$$

This equation is affine.

$$s_{t+1} = F(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta)$$
  $G_t := \frac{\partial s_t}{\partial \theta}$ 

 $\sim$ 

Taking the derivative of the evolution equation wrt  $\theta$  we get

$$G_{t+1} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta}(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta) \cdot G_t$$

This equation is affine.  $\implies$  If  $\tilde{G}_t$  is an unbiased approximation of  $G_t$ , then
To understand how to approximate  $G_t$ , let us look at its evolution. The evolution equation is

$$s_{t+1} = F(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta)$$
  $G_t := \frac{\partial s_t}{\partial \theta}$ 

Taking the derivative of the evolution equation wrt  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  we get

$$G_{t+1} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta}(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta) \cdot G_t$$

This equation is affine.

 $\implies$  If  $\tilde{G}_t$  is an unbiased approximation of  $G_t$ , then

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial s} \cdot \tilde{G}_t$$

is an unbiased approximation of  $G_{t+1}$ .

To understand how to approximate  $G_t$ , let us look at its evolution. The evolution equation is

$$s_{t+1} = F(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta)$$
  $G_t := \frac{\partial s_t}{\partial \theta}$ 

Taking the derivative of the evolution equation wrt  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  we get

$$G_{t+1} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta}(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta) \cdot G_t$$

This equation is affine.

 $\implies$  If  $\tilde{G}_t$  is an unbiased approximation of  $G_t$ , then

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial s} \cdot \tilde{G}_{t}$$

is an unbiased approximation of  $G_{t+1}$ . Use with  $\tilde{G}_t = \tilde{s}_t \tilde{\theta}_t^{\top}$ .

To understand how to approximate  $G_t$ , let us look at its evolution. The evolution equation is

$$s_{t+1} = F(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta)$$
  $G_t := \frac{\partial s_t}{\partial \theta}$ 

Taking the derivative of the evolution equation wrt  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  we get

$$G_{t+1} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta}(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta) \cdot G_t$$

This equation is affine.  $\implies$  If  $\tilde{G}_t$  is an unbiased approximation of  $G_t$ , then

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial s} \cdot \tilde{G}_{t}$$

is an unbiased approximation of  $G_{t+1}$ . Use with  $\tilde{G}_t = \tilde{s}_t \tilde{\theta}_t^{\top}$ .

**Problem**: Even if  $\tilde{G}_t = \tilde{s}_t \tilde{\theta}_t^{\top}$  is rank-one,  $\tilde{G}_{t+1}$  is full-rank again.

Proposition Let A be a  $k \times l$  matrix,

#### Proposition

Let A be a  $k \times l$  matrix, Let  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^{l}$  be a column vector of random  $\pm 1$  signs. Let

#### Proposition

Let A be a  $k \times l$  matrix, Let  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^l$  be a column vector of random  $\pm 1$  signs. Let Then  $(A\nu)\nu^T$  is an unbiased, rank-one estimate of A:

$$\mathbb{E}(A\nu)\nu^{\top} = A$$

Proof:  $\mathbb{E} \nu \nu^{\top} = I$ .

#### Proposition

Let A be a  $k \times l$  matrix, Let  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^l$  be a column vector of random  $\pm 1$  signs. Let Then  $(A\nu)\nu^{\top}$  is an unbiased, rank-one estimate of A:

$$\mathbb{E}(A\nu)\nu^{\top} = A$$

Proof:  $\mathbb{E} \nu \nu^{\top} = I$ .

Corollary: UORO is unbiased,  $\mathbb{E}\tilde{s}_t \tilde{\theta}_t^{\top} = G_t$ .

Consider a recurrent model  $s_{t+1} = F(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta)$ .

Consider a recurrent model  $s_{t+1} = F(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta)$ .

Maintain at each step a vector  $\tilde{s}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{\dim s}$  and  $\tilde{\theta}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{\dim \theta}$  with:

Then  $\theta \leftarrow \theta - \alpha \frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial s_t} \cdot \tilde{s}_t \cdot \tilde{\theta}_t^{\top} - \frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial \theta}\Big|_{s_t}$  is an unbiased gradient descent on  $\theta$ .

(Unbiased:  $\mathbb{E} = \theta - \alpha \partial \mathsf{loss} / \partial \theta$ .)

Consider a recurrent model  $s_{t+1} = F(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta)$ .

Maintain at each step a vector  $\tilde{s}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{\dim s}$  and  $\tilde{\theta}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{\dim \theta}$  with:

$$\tilde{\theta}_{t+1} = \frac{1}{\rho_0} \tilde{\theta}_t + \frac{1}{\rho_1} (\frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta})^\top \nu$$

Then 
$$\theta \leftarrow \theta - \alpha \frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial s_t} \cdot \tilde{s}_t \cdot \tilde{\theta}_t^\top - \frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial \theta}\Big|_{s_t}$$
 is an unbiased gradient descent on  $\theta$ .

(Unbiased:  $\mathbb{E} = \theta - \alpha \partial \mathsf{loss} / \partial \theta$ .)

Consider a recurrent model  $s_{t+1} = F(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta)$ .

Maintain at each step a vector  $\tilde{s}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{\dim s}$  and  $\tilde{\theta}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{\dim \theta}$  with:

$$\tilde{\theta}_{t+1} = \frac{1}{\rho_0} \tilde{\theta}_t + \frac{1}{\rho_1} (\frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta})^\top \nu$$

$$\tilde{s}_{t+1} = \rho_0 \, \frac{\partial F}{\partial s} \, \tilde{s}_t + \rho_1 \, \nu$$

where  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^{\dim s}$  is a vector of random  $\pm 1$  signs,

Then 
$$\theta \leftarrow \theta - \alpha \frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial s_t} \cdot \tilde{s}_t \cdot \tilde{\theta}_t^\top - \frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial \theta}\Big|_{s_t}$$
 is an unbiased gradient descent on  $\theta$ .

(Unbiased:  $\mathbb{E} = \theta - \alpha \partial \mathsf{loss} / \partial \theta$ .)

Consider a recurrent model  $s_{t+1} = F(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta)$ .

Maintain at each step a vector  $\tilde{s}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{\dim s}$  and  $\tilde{\theta}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{\dim \theta}$  with:

$$\tilde{\theta}_{t+1} = \frac{1}{\rho_0} \tilde{\theta}_t + \frac{1}{\rho_1} (\frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta})^\top \nu$$

$$\tilde{s}_{t+1} = \rho_0 \, \frac{\partial F}{\partial s} \, \tilde{s}_t + \rho_1 \, \nu$$

where  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^{\dim s}$  is a vector of random  $\pm 1$  signs, and  $\rho_0 := \sqrt{\left\|\tilde{\theta}_t\right\| / \left\|\partial F / \partial s \,\tilde{s}_t\right\|}$  and  $\rho_1 := \sqrt{\left\|(\partial F / \partial \theta)^\top \nu\right\| / \left\|\nu\right\|}.$ 

Then  $\theta \leftarrow \theta - \alpha \frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial s_t} \cdot \tilde{s}_t \cdot \tilde{\theta}_t^{\top} - \frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial \theta}\Big|_{s_t}$  is an unbiased gradient descent on  $\theta$ .

(Unbiased:  $\mathbb{E} = \theta - \alpha \partial loss / \partial \theta$ .)

Consider a recurrent model  $s_{t+1} = F(x_{t+1}, s_t, \theta)$ .

Maintain at each step a vector  $\tilde{s}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{\dim s}$  and  $\tilde{\theta}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{\dim \theta}$  with:

$$\tilde{\theta}_{t+1} = \frac{1}{\rho_0} \tilde{\theta}_t + \frac{1}{\rho_1} (\frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta})^\top \nu$$

$$\tilde{s}_{t+1} = \rho_0 \, \frac{\partial F}{\partial s} \, \tilde{s}_t + \rho_1 \, \nu$$

where  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^{\dim s}$  is a vector of random  $\pm 1$  signs, and  $\rho_0 := \sqrt{\left\| \tilde{\theta}_t \right\| / \left\| \partial F / \partial s \, \tilde{s}_t \right\|}$  and  $\rho_1 := \sqrt{\left\| (\partial F / \partial \theta)^\top \nu \right\| / \left\| \nu \right\|}.$ 

Then  $\theta \leftarrow \theta - \alpha \frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial s_t} \cdot \tilde{s}_t \cdot \tilde{\theta}_t^\top - \frac{\partial \ell_t}{\partial \theta}\Big|_{s_t}$  is an unbiased gradient descent on  $\theta$ .

(Unbiased:  $\mathbb{E} = \theta - \alpha \partial loss / \partial \theta$ .)

For RNNs, LSTMs, GRUs: same computational cost as running the RNN itself.



Backpropagate the loss.



- Backpropagate the loss.
- Forward differentiate  $\tilde{s}_t$  (left multiplication by Jacobian).



- Backpropagate the loss.
- Forward differentiate  $\tilde{s}_t$  (left multiplication by Jacobian).
- Backpropagate random signs.



• 
$$\tilde{g}_{t+1} = \frac{\partial I_{t+1}}{\partial s_t} \cdot \tilde{s}_t + \frac{\partial I_{t+1}}{\partial \theta} \Big|_{s_t}$$

• 
$$\tilde{g}_{t+1} = \frac{\partial l_{t+1}}{\partial s_t} \cdot \tilde{s}_t + \frac{\partial l_{t+1}}{\partial \theta} \Big|_{s_t}$$
  
•  $\tilde{s}_{t+1} = \rho_0 \, \hat{s}_{t+1} + \rho_1 \, \nu$ 

• 
$$\tilde{g}_{t+1} = \frac{\partial l_{t+1}}{\partial s_t} \cdot \tilde{s}_t + \frac{\partial l_{t+1}}{\partial \theta} \Big|_{s_t}$$
  
•  $\tilde{s}_{t+1} = \rho_0 \, \hat{s}_{t+1} + \rho_1 \, \nu$   
•  $\tilde{\theta}_{t+1} = \frac{1}{\rho_0} \, \tilde{\theta}_t + \frac{1}{\rho_1} \, \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} \right)^{\top} \nu$ 

# UORO: Results

#### Datasets:

- influence balancing
- distant brackets
- a<sup>n</sup>b<sup>n</sup> problem for long dependencies

# **UORO:** Results

#### Datasets:

- influence balancing
- distant brackets
- a<sup>n</sup>b<sup>n</sup> problem for long dependencies
- Model: LSTMs or GRUs, log-loss over probabilistic prediction of next character for character predictions.

# **UORO:** Results

#### Datasets:

- influence balancing
- distant brackets
- a<sup>n</sup>b<sup>n</sup> problem for long dependencies
- Model: LSTMs or GRUs, log-loss over probabilistic prediction of next character for character predictions.
- Baselines: Comparision with Truncated backpropagation through time

# Influence balancing

#### ► Linear model.

Learn a parameter that has a positive influence in the short term, but a negative influence in the long go.

# Influence balancing

#### ► Linear model.

- Learn a parameter that has a positive influence in the short term, but a negative influence in the long go.
- UORO succeeds in balancing dependencies correctly.
- TBPTT fails even when truncation is far above the inherent time range of the model.

Influence balancing, positive influence on 10 steps, negative influence on the 13 next steps.



Loss

### Distant brackets

Sample:

[a]lmsle[a] [c]kopas[c] [d]llses[d] [l]oksse[1]

Correct prediction requires storing the first character between bracket.

#### Learning curves on distant brackets



Sample:

Correct prediction requires counting the number of a's.

#### Learning curves on $a^n b^n$ :



 Convergence theorem: done for simple (linear) cases, in progress for more general cases.

- Convergence theorem: done for simple (linear) cases, in progress for more general cases.
- Cleverer variance reduction: noise is put "uniformly" on all components of s<sub>t</sub> at each time step. Different units does not respond similarly to noise, how to take this into account?

- Convergence theorem: done for simple (linear) cases, in progress for more general cases.
- Cleverer variance reduction: noise is put "uniformly" on all components of s<sub>t</sub> at each time step. Different units does not respond similarly to noise, how to take this into account?
- How to deal with parameters that have a non-continuous influence on the trajectory, such as probabilities to make certain discrete choices?

- Convergence theorem: done for simple (linear) cases, in progress for more general cases.
- Cleverer variance reduction: noise is put "uniformly" on all components of s<sub>t</sub> at each time step. Different units does not respond similarly to noise, how to take this into account?
- How to deal with parameters that have a non-continuous influence on the trajectory, such as probabilities to make certain discrete choices?
- Reinforcement learning:
## Open problems and future work

- Convergence theorem: done for simple (linear) cases, in progress for more general cases.
- Cleverer variance reduction: noise is put "uniformly" on all components of s<sub>t</sub> at each time step. Different units does not respond similarly to noise, how to take this into account?
- How to deal with parameters that have a non-continuous influence on the trajectory, such as probabilities to make certain discrete choices?
- Reinforcement learning: in real physical systems, response of the environment depends on the actions of the system.

## Open problems and future work

- Convergence theorem: done for simple (linear) cases, in progress for more general cases.
- Cleverer variance reduction: noise is put "uniformly" on all components of s<sub>t</sub> at each time step. Different units does not respond similarly to noise, how to take this into account?
- How to deal with parameters that have a non-continuous influence on the trajectory, such as probabilities to make certain discrete choices?
- Reinforcement learning: in real physical systems, response of the environment depends on the actions of the system.
  No gradient available for this => need to rely on gradients computed from a (learned) model of the world.

## Open problems and future work

- Convergence theorem: done for simple (linear) cases, in progress for more general cases.
- Cleverer variance reduction: noise is put "uniformly" on all components of s<sub>t</sub> at each time step. Different units does not respond similarly to noise, how to take this into account?
- How to deal with parameters that have a non-continuous influence on the trajectory, such as probabilities to make certain discrete choices?
- Reinforcement learning: in real physical systems, response of the environment depends on the actions of the system.
  No gradient available for this => need to rely on gradients computed from a (learned) model of the world.

## Thank you!