

# Career Seminar

session 6

Anastasia Bezerianos

TA: Eugenie Brasier

contact: **career.seminar@gmail.com**

*<https://www.lri.fr/~anab/teaching/CareerSeminar/>*

# Homework Assignments

#2 Notebook

## Assignment #2: Start a research notebook

Due: Every week

Create your personal research notebook  
Choose paper, electronic or hybrid

Continue for the rest of the semester ...

Keep track of what you read

Sketch and record ideas

DATE every entry

Add KEYWORDS to every entry

# Abstract

Summarizes the paper:

- Include the problem and solution

- Emphasize the contribution

1st sentence:

- What is the problem?

Next sentences:

- What did you do?: system, algorithm, study

- Key details to explain 1st sentence

Final sentence:

- Main results or claim

# Write an Abstract

Pick a new *research* article

Skim, then read the paper

Answer the following questions:

- What specific problem does the paper address?
- What research methods did they use?  
(interviews? observation? field study? experiment? design a system?)
- What were their results?

Write your own abstract, based on what you read

DO NOT copy their abstract

# Writing Walkthrough: Procedure

Create a group of authors:

4 people, 20 minutes each = 1 hour 20 minutes

Preparation:

Copy selected document parts (max. 1 page)

Procedure per author

05 min: Everyone reads and annotates text

15 min: Start with sentence one:  
proceed line by line: identify problems

# Writing Walkthrough: Rules

## Constructive criticism:

- Be positive

- Grammatical errors

- Logic errors

- “I did not understand this”

## Do not debate: it wastes time!

- Participants identify problems  
and suggest solutions

- Authors can accept solutions  
... or not!

# Structuring Research Articles and Master's Internship Reports

# How to structure research paper?

NOT:

What I did, in chronological order

WHY NOT?

Because nobody cares :)

You are no longer a student who gets points  
for doing the exercise

You only get credit if you have an interesting,  
well-justified result

# How to structure research paper?

NOT:

What I did, in chronological order

INSTEAD:

Find a 'hook':

- What is your claim?
- What will attract the reader's attention?
- What will the reader remember?
- What is the **citable** result (one phrase)?

# Writing is a highly iterative process

Different writing approaches:

Outline first, fill in the paragraphs

or

Work from notes to construct

or

Focus on the 'flow' of the ideas

# Writing is a highly iterative process

Write, edit, read, write, edit, read ...

Iterate at each level:

Sections:	Coherent structure	(mostly standard)
Paragraphs:	Coherent arguments	
Sentences:	Grammar, style	
Words:	Spelling, word choice	

# Process suggestions

Write the easiest parts first:

Write a draft **before** you have results

Copy standard formats from your field

math  $\neq$  engineering  $\neq$  computer science  $\neq$  HCI or DS

Find an excellent, similar paper to use as a guide:

What methods do they use?

How do they make their arguments?

Careful: **DO NOT COPY** their exact words

but learn from how they structure and express ideas

# Process suggestions

Keep revising the abstract:  
beginning, middle, end

Link your introduction to your conclusion  
one unified story: problem → solution

Ensure that readers understand your contribution  
Make a claim and justify it

# A 'standard' paper / research report

Title:	Summary of contribution
Abstract:	Summary of problem & solution
Intro:	What is the problem?
Lit review:	What other research is relevant? Why is it insufficient?
Body:	What did you do? Details on what, how & why
Results:	What did you discover?
Conclusion:	Why it is important?

# Skim test: How will others read your paper?

Read the abstract and check the video:  
Is it worth continuing?

If yes:

- Read the abstract

- Read the beginning of the introduction

- Check the last 'structure' paragraph

- Look at the figures and captions

- Read the conclusion

- Check the references

Would your paper pass?

# Abstract

Provides a concise summary of paper's contributions  
it is NOT an introduction!

Readers:       (0st:    You, when still working on paper)  
                  1st:    Reviewers (!!)  
                  2nd:    Non-specialists who won't read paper  
                  3rd:    Ph.D. students writing a literature review  
                  4th:    Specialists (maybe)

Goal:           Get others to read the paper  
                  Help others cite your paper  
                  Distribute your findings more widely

# Abstract

Summarizes the paper:

- Include the problem and solution

- Emphasize the contribution

1st sentence:

- What is the problem?

Next sentences:

- What did you do?: system, algorithm, study

- Key details to explain 1st sentence

Final sentence:

- Main results or claim

# Introduction

Structure:

- General problem area

- Specific problem area addressed by paper

- Literature review:

  - Who else has this problem?

- Your approach to solving the problem

  - But NOT all results!

- Optional: Summary of paper structure

## Introduction: Try this

1. Write an introduction
2. Throw away the first paragraph
3. Analyse what is left:
  - What are the topic sentences?
  - Do the sentences follow the topic sentence?
  - Do they constitute a cohesive argument?
4. Rewrite the introduction
  - Think about the flow of the argument
  - Who do you need to convince?

# Introduction: Argumentation strategies

System XYZ is a great idea,  
but does not work in our context because ...  
Users XYZ need to do ABC  
but cannot because ...  
Algorithm XYZ is not sufficiently  
fast, robust, accessible ...  
Changes in technology (WWW, data, scale)  
require us to ...

# Where should you put the key finding?

Depends upon the discipline

Psychology (CHI) tradition:

Not necessarily in the introduction  
(but it happens more & more)

Engineering (UIST/SIGGRAPH) tradition:

Always on the front page

DataScience?

Why?

Science: justify your process before results

Engineering: show me what's 'new' first

BUT – key results are ALWAYS in the abstract

# Literature Review

Analyze the literature

Do NOT make a 'laundry list' !!!

Choose relevant references (to your problem):

Address related problems, not this one

Tried but failed to solve this problem

Critique the articles ... but do it carefully

Remember: You are critiquing your reviewers !

Avoid 'straw men' and over generalizations

Brief description of what they did\*

Explain how they relate to your work

\* How will others cite your paper?

# Body of paper

This is your specialty, what you know best !!!

General suggestions:

- Follow the standard format

  - Do not be creative here!

- Make sure you present/justify your work process

- Do not intermix argumentation and technical description:

  - Keep to the point

  - Focus on clarity

# Conclusion: Structure

First: summarize the paper

- Match the problem (introduction) to the solution

- Concise summary of what you did

Next: examine the contribution

- What are the main contributions of the paper?

- What are the limitations of the work?

- What are the implications for future research?

- (parts of these can be in a different section, e.g., Discussion)

# Conclusion (from a reader's perspective)

Reviewers (of paper or internship) ask:

Does it match the introduction?

Does it summarize the paper?

Are the claims clear and justified?

What is the future of this research?

Readers ask:

What are the final results?

Do I believe the author's claims?

# Rewriting

As you write and revise,  
the paper evolves ... often dramatically

Sometimes the goal of the paper changes,  
or the problem being addressed,  
or you discover other contributions  
Sometimes it should be two papers

You should be learning as you write,  
discovering what you think  
If not, something is wrong

# Tell a story

A good paper has a rhythm  
a beginning, a middle and an end

Lead readers to draw their own conclusions  
do not use adjectives to force strong claims  
(Not: 'this is a powerful system')

"It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we  
have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying  
mechanism for the genetic material."

Watson and Crick (1953)

# Common Writing Errors

# Common writing errors

This **allows to** ...

We wrote **a software**.

**A French** did XYZ ...

The study ran **since** 2 years

**We are 3 to work** on this project.

A **32 years** old man

Consists **in**

This **allows us to** ...

This **allows users to** ...

We wrote **software**.

We wrote **a program**.

**A French person** did XYZ ...

The study ran **for** 2 years

**Three people** worked on this project.

A **32-year** old man

Consists **of** (usually)

## Bold statements

Use “we” to convert to active voice:

This paper **presents an investigation of** .... We investigate ...

Shorten it

In this paper, we describe ....

We describe ...

Two evaluation studies were carried out.

In the first one ....

The first study ...

Use simple past tense:

We **have investigated** how

We investigated how

## Avoid these

It exists many informations on ...

It is a very important problem today ...

Many researches exist ...

There is a wide array of formulas used to measure X ...

This paper describes a prototype that aims to provide a more efficient and effective tool that ...

We describe an approach for organizing information...

## More writing errors

We did a terrible mistake.

We made a mistake.

What means 'enigmatic'?

What does "enigmatic" mean?

We make research in this domaine.

We do research ...

Our research in the field of ...

We are not agree with you.

We do not agree

We disagree

The user is not use to ...

The user is not used to ...

We will present you ...

We will present ...

## More writing errors

The number increase of 10%,      increases by 10%

We will eventually have recourse      We must act.  
to action

He did many progresses in his job      He progressed

We asked to the users ...      We asked users

We still ignore if xxx will happen.      We still do not know if xxx will happen.

# Common writing errors

commercialized since 2007

commercialized as of 2007

several ones are

several are

general used approach

common approach

make benefit

take advantage of

He was professor

He was a Professor

# Collective nouns

Use:

information

research

work

software

(the program)

Avoid:

informations

researches

works

softwares

Non-countable vs countable nouns

much information vs. many techniques

less data vs. fewer errors

# Common errors

## Hyphens

the state of the art

noun

a state-of-the-art technique

adjective

## Singular

formula

datum (rare)

focus

## Plural

formuli

data

foci (rare)

# Writing numbers

one, two, three ... ten

11, 12, 13 ...

1.7

North America

1.7

1,233

\$ 4.99

write as text

write as a numeral

never: one point seven

Europe

1,7

1 233

4,99 €

# Faux amis

**important** contracts

major contracts

we are **actually** doing x

we are currently doing x

**pass** an exam

take an exam

Careful: a **proposed** project does not exist!

Others:

Lecture

Figure

Eventually

Comprehensive

# Spelling

British

American

Organisation

Organization

Categorise

Categorize

Whilst

While

Colour

Color

Artefact

Artifact

Ph.D. defense

Ph.D. defence

... or pretend to be Canadian and mix the two!