
Programming Languages for XML

Ou

Au delà des standards

Véronique Benzaken

`benzaken@lri.fr`

LRI (UMR 8623 CNRS) and Université de Paris Sud

Introduction

Information on the web

What you are not able to do with the Web:

- Main Language on the Web is **HTML**
- HTML used for **presenting** informations
 - Not suited for data exchange.
 - Not able to perform data manipulation (except displaying).
- Unable to interpret data provided with HTML format.

New applications

- **B2B**: Companies need to **exchange informations** (not only for displaying them !)
- **Search engines** : if one is able **to interpret** transmitted data one is able to index it efficiently.
- **ASP**: send data to a server in order **to apply** them a given **treatment**.
- **Ubiquitous computing**: same informations must be **displayed differently** (HTML, text, WML).
- ...

New Requirements

Exchanging, publishing and processing data

- **Heterogenous network:** data must be represented independently from a given machine to another one.
- **Various applications:** data must be represented independently from a given application.
- **Each application has its own (proprietary) format:** data must be easily transformed from a format to another.

A solution: XML

- Written in ASCII: eases exchange
- Human-readable
- Self-explaining
- Standardisation (W3C)
- Adopted by an increasing number of leading IT companies

XML: an example

```
<bib>
  <book>
    <title> Persistent Object Systems</title>
    <year> 1994</year>
    <author> M. Atkinson</author>
    <author> V. Benzaken</author>
    <author> D. Maier</author>
  </book>
  <book>
    <title> OOP: a unified foundation</title>
    <year> 1997</year>
    <author> G. Castagna</author>
  </book>
</bib>
```

- XML is only a mere **format** (not a language)
- Constitutes the de facto “*lingua franca*” on the web.

Transformation Languages

Document Processing

Languages are needed to process XML documents.

- **Presentation**
XML \rightarrow XML-FO, XHTML, \LaTeX , MathML, ...
- **Search**
find all recipes of “Tiramisu”
- **Exchange**
prepare a description for search engines
- **Integration**
“best recipes on the web”

Document processing

Three different techniques:

- Libraries for tree manipulation in general purpose languages.
- Extension of type systems for existing languages with “XML types”.
- Design of XML-specific processing languages.

Library approach

Use of APIs such as SAX (Simple API for XML) or DOM (Document Object Model) in C++ or Java.

- ⊕ No need to train programmers
- ⊕ Tools, support, stability
- ⊖ No use of types: correction difficult to enforce and or guarantee, debugging very hard.
- ⊖ Syntax not adapted, very verbose, code unreadable and very unlikely reusable, very low productivity.

Extension of type systems of existing languages

Examples: Relaxer and JAXB (based on Java) HaXML (based on Haskell), Xtatic (based on C#).

- ⊕ Use of specific types ensures (partial) correction and eases debugging.
- ⊕ Tools, support and stability.
- ⊖ Learning curve slower. Need to train programmers in case of not very wide-spread languages.
- ⊖ Syntax not adapted, verbose, code unreadable and unlikely reusable, low productivity.

XML-specific languages

XML to XML: XSLT, XDuce, YATL, XQuery

General purpose: Xerox's Circus-DTE, **CDuce**, Microsoft's X#.

- ⊕ Use of specific types ensures partial correction and eases debugging.
- ⊕ Syntax very well-adapted, very compact programs, readable, code reuse. High programmer's productivity.
- ⊖ Learning curve very slow. Need to train programmers for new (functional) languages.
- ⊖ XSLT excepted, all those languages are in development phase; only (pre) prototypes or alpha versions are available. Lack of support and stability.

C*D*uce

an XML-Centric General Purpose Language

(www.cduce.org)

Motivation

- XML provides formats for tree-structured data (or documents) and,
- in addition, types (or schemas), e.g.,
 - DTD
 - RELAX NG
 - W3C XML Schema
- However, existing “processing” languages are un-typed (XSLT/XPath).
- How can we process XML documents using types ?

CDuce: Introduction

- CDuce is a general purpose typed functional programming language.
- The work on CDuce started from an attempt to overtake some limitations of XDuce (H. Hosoya, B. Pierce, J. Vouillon).
- Design choice: keeping XML applications in mind.

Formal Foundation: “Semantic Subtyping” in [LICS’02]

Design and Implementation: “CDuce an XML-Centric General-Purpose Language” in [ICFP’03]

- Type algebra
Core (low level) representation of XML documents, Transformation typing
- Support for XML documents: sequences and elements
XML friendly syntax
- Pattern matching
Complex extraction of information with exact typing
- Overloaded Functions
Code reusability, OOP style

Ⓒ *Duce overview*

- Higher order functions
- Queries
Highly declarative programming interface
- Benchmarks
- Current status

Types are pervasives in CDuce:

- Static validation
 - E.g.: does the transformation produce valid XHTML ?
- Type-driven semantics
 - Pattern matching can dispatch on types, overloaded functions
- Type-driven compilation and optimizations
 - Makes use of static type information to avoid unnecessary and redundant tests at runtime
 - Allows a more declarative style without degrading performance
 - Extremely useful with tag-coupled XML types (e.g.: DTDs)

Core type algebra

- basic types

`Int`, `String`, `Atom`

(an atom is a constant of the form `'id` where *id* is an arbitrary identifier)

- types constructors

product types (t_1, t_2)

record types $\{ a_1 = t_1; \dots; a_n = t_n \}$

functional types $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$

- boolean connectives

empty and universal types `Empty` and `Any`

intersection $t_1 \ \& \ t_2$

union $t_1 \ | \ t_2$

and difference $t_1 \setminus t_2$

Core type algebra

- finer basic types

integer interval $i..j$ (e.g.: $0..9$)

string regexp `/regexp/` (e.g.: `/['a' - 'z'] * /`)

- singleton types

for any scalar or constructed value v , v is itself a type (for instance `'nil` is the type of empty sequences, and `18` is the type of the integer `18`)

- recursive types

e.g.: integer lists:

`Ilist` where `Ilist = (Int, Ilist) | 'nil`

Set-theoretic interpretation of types

- To handle complexity of the type algebra, we need a simple interpretation of types:

A type is a set of values.

- **type** Int is the set $\{\dots, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$;
- **type** (t_1, t_2) is the set of all expressions (v_1, v_2) where v_i is a value of type t_i ;
- **type** $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$ is the set of all expressions $\text{fun } f(s_1; \dots; s_n) \text{ e}$ that applied to a value in t_1 return a result (if any) in t_2 .
- Natural set-theoretic interpretation of boolean connectives and subtyping relation.

Formal foundations in [LICS'02]

XML: Sequences and Elements

Sequences are encoded *à la* Lisp by pairs and a terminator `'nil`.

A sequence of values v_1, \dots, v_n is written

$[v_1 \dots v_n]$

which is syntactic sugar for

$(v_1, (\dots, (v_n, \text{'nil}) \dots)).$

XML: Sequences and Elements

Define sequence types by

$[tyregexp]$

where $tyregexp$ is a **regular expression** built from types.

E.g.: $[Int^*]$, $[Int^* String^+ Int?]$

An XML element

$\langle tag \ a_1 = v_1 \ \dots \ a_n = v_n \rangle \ elem_seq \ \langle / tag \rangle$

is written in CDuce as

$\langle tag \ a_1 = v_1 \ \dots \ a_n = v_n \rangle [\ elem_seq]$

XML-Friendly Syntax

```
<bib>
  <book>
    <title>Persistent Object Systems</title>
    <year>1994</year>
    <author>M. Atkinson</author>
    <author>V. Benzaken</author>
    <author>D. Maier</author>
  </book>
  <book>
    <title>OOP: a unified foundation</title>
    <year>1997</year>
    <author>G. Castagna</author>
  </book>
</bib>
```

XML

```
let bib0 = <bib>[
  <book>[
    <title>["Persistent Object Systems"]
    <year>["1994"]
    <author>["M. Atkinson"]
    <author>["V. Benzaken"]
    <author>["D. Maier"] ]
  <book>[
    <title>["OOP: a unified foundation"]
    <year>["1997"]
    <author>["G. Castagna"] ]
]
```

CDuce

Loading XML documents

```
type IntStr = /['0'-'9']+;;
type Bib    = <bib>[Book*];;
type Book   = <book>[Title Year Author+];;
type Year    = <year>[IntStr];;
type Title  = <title>[String];;
type Author = <author>[String];;
```

An XML document can be loaded with `load_xml` and checked to be of the correct type by pattern matching:

```
let bib0 =

  match (load_xml "bib.xml") with
  | (x & Bib) -> x
  | _ -> error "Wrong type !";;

|- bib0 : Bib
```

Pattern Matching

One of CDuce's key features.

```
match e with p1 -> e1 | ... | pn -> en  
fun f (t1 -> s1; ...) p1 -> e1 | ... | pn -> en
```

A pattern may either match or reject a value. When it matches:

- Binds its *capture variables* to the corresponding parts of the value and the computation can continue with the body of the branch.

Otherwise: Control is passed to the next branch.

- ML-like flavor, but much more powerful
- Express in a single pattern a computation that dynamically checks both the **structure and the type** of the matched values, and extracts deep information.

Pattern Algebra

p	$::=$	x	<i>capture</i> , $x \in \mathbb{V}$
		t	<i>type constraint</i> , $t \in T$
		$p_1 \wedge p_2$	<i>conjunction</i>
		$p_1 \mid p_2$	<i>alternative</i>
		(p_1, p_2)	<i>pair</i>
		$(x := c)$	<i>constant</i> , $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\llbracket t_c \rrbracket = \{c\}$

Formal foundations in [LICS'02]

Recursive patterns

Multiple occurrences of the same variable are useful in recursive patterns:

- $p \text{ where } p = (x \ \& \ \text{Int}, _) \mid (_, p)$
extracts the *first* element of type `Int` from a sequence.
- $p \text{ where } p = (_, p) \mid (x \ \& \ \text{Int}, _)$
extracts the *last* element of type `Int`.

Order is important

- **Powerful captures:**

$p \text{ where } ((x \ \& \ \text{Int}), p) \mid (_, p) \mid (x := \text{'nil'})$

when L is matched against p , then x binds the list of all integers occurring in L .

Syntactic sugar: $[(x::\text{Int} \mid _)*]$

More on Patterns

- **Precise typing:** (t/p) = type environment for the variables in p when matching a value in t

t	$(t/p)(x)$
[Int String Int]	[Int Int]
[Int String]	[Int?]
[Int* String Int]	[Int+]
[Int+ String Int]	[Int+ Int]
[(0..10)+ String]	[(0..10)+]
[(Int String)+]	[Int+]

XML-friendly Patterns

```
type IntStr = /['0'-'9']+;;
type Bib    = <bib>[Book*];;
type Book   = <book>[Title Year Author+];;
type Year    = <year>[IntStr];;
type Title  = <title>[String];;
type Author = <author>[String];;

let fun book_title ( Book -> String )
  <book>[ <title>[t]; _ ] -> t;;

let fun book_author ( Book -> [String+])
  <book>l -> transform l with <author>[a] -> [a];;
```

- `l`, `t` and `a` are capture variables

Pattern Compilation

- Key issue to execute \mathbb{C} Duce programs efficiently
- New kind of deterministic tree automata: Non Uniform Tree Automata (combination of top-down and bottom-up automata).
- Compilation schema (from patterns to automata) which uses static type info to avoid unnecessary run-time checks.



Allows for a declarative programming style

Extra support for sequences

`map e with $p_1 \rightarrow e_1 \mid \dots \mid p_n \rightarrow e_n$`
`transform e with $p_1 \rightarrow e_1 \mid \dots \mid p_n \rightarrow e_n$`
`xtransform e with $p_1 \rightarrow e_1 \mid \dots \mid p_n \rightarrow e_n$`

- **map** applies some transformation to each element of a sequence. (implicit default branch: $x \rightarrow x$)
- **transform** each branch of the pattern is supposed to return a sequence, and all the returned sequences are concatenated together. (implicit default branch: $x \rightarrow []$)
- **xtransform** works on (sequences of) XML-trees. Match the patterns on each root of each tree and if it fails recursively apply to the sequence of sons.

- Thanks to xtransform a function that puts in boldface all the links of an Xhtml document can be defined

```
let bold(x:[Xhtml]):[Xhtml]=  
    xtransform x with <a,(x)>t -> [<a,(x)>[<b>t]]
```

- Without xtransform we would be obliged to iterate on the whole DTD of XHTML.
- xtransform combines the flexibility as XSLT template programming, with the precise static typing and efficient compilation of CDuce's transform.

Overloading

- **Static overloading:** same name for a similar action in different types.
- **Dynamic dispatch:** reminiscent of OO programming.
 - Separation of overloading in function interface and in implementation (pattern matching) allows code sharing between different "classes".
 - Combine advantage of pattern-matching and multi-methods (dispatch according to the run-time type of several arguments)
- **With higher-order:** pass a single overloaded function argument to a function instead of several functions.

Extensions for queries

- \mathbb{C} Duce was designed as a **programming language**.
- A small set of extra constructions (or syntactic sugar) can endow it with **query-like facilities: projection, selection, join**.
- Core \mathbb{C} Duce contribution to this query language is: static typing + efficient compilation schema.

Highly Declarative Programming Interface

Projection

- projection can be defined from the transform construction.
- If e is a \mathbb{C} Duce expression representing a sequence of elements and t is a type,

e/t

is syntactic sugar for:

```
transform e with <_>c ->  
    transform c with (x & t) -> [x]
```

Note that c is bound to the content of each element in the sequence

e

Consider

```
type AddrBook = <book>content;;  
type content = [(Name Addr Tel?)*];;  
type Name = <name>[String];;  
type Addr = <addr kind =? "home"|"work">[Street Town];;  
type Street = <street>[String];;  
type Town = <town>[String];;  
type Tel = <tel>[String];;
```

If `addr_book` is of type `AddrBook`, then

`[addr_book]/<addr kind="home">_/<town>_`

denotes the sequence of all town elements that occur in a “home” address in `addr_book`.

This corresponds to the XPath expression

`/addr[@kind="home"]/town.`

Select from where

- A `select` construction can then be defined:

`select e from p1 in e1, ..., pn in en where e'`

- can be defined to be the same as:

```
transform e1 with p1 -> ...  
  transform en with pn ->  
    if e' then -> e else []
```

- **Important**

Order is unspecified to exploit usual query optimization techniques.

Select from where

ⒸDuce Style

```
select [<resultats1>[<letitre>[t] <lacrit>[r] ]]
from
    <bibliography>[ <heading>_ p::Paper*]      in [bib]
    <paper>[a::Author+ <title>t _*]             in p
    <author>"Honore de Balzac"                  in a
    <reviews>[b::BibRev*]                      in [rev0]
    <book>[<title>t1 <review>r]                 in b
where t1 = t ;;
```

Xquery Style

```
select <resultat2>[<letitre>([t]/_) <lacrit>([r]/_) ]
from
    p      in [bib]/<paper>_ ,
    t      in [p]/<title>_ ,
    a      in [p]/<author>_ ,
    b      in [rev0]/<book>_ ,
    t1     in [b]/<title>_ ,
    r      in [b]/<review>_
where t1=t and a=<author>"Honore de Balzac" ;;
```


Benchmarks

xsltproc parser for XSLT.

split	60Kb	0.3 Mb	0.6 Mb	2.5 Mb	5.2 Mb
CDuce 1	0.10	0.30	0.52	1.92	3.95
CDuce 2	0.11	0.30	0.50	1.92	3.92
CDuce 3	0.10	0.29	0.49	1.85	3.81
XSLT 1	0.15	0.79	1.42	5.95	12.85
XSLT 2	0.18	0.93	1.68	6.90	14.33

- The first CDuce version uses the pattern `<person gender=g>[<name>n <children>[(mc::MPerson | fc::FPerson)*]]`.
- The second one uses the hand-optimized pattern `<_ gender=g>[<_>n <_>[(mc::<_ gender="M">_ | fc::_)*)]]`.
- The third CDuce version duplicates the main function to avoid overloading and useless computations on tags.
- The two XSLT versions use slightly different styles (two templates, or a single template with computation on tag).

Current Status and Perspectives

- **Current status**
 - DTD, Schema validation, Namespace, Unicode, Web Services, Interactive Sessions.
 - Distribution under MIT Licence, for Linux/Unix, Mac OS10, Windows XP (.exe).
- **Perspectives**
 - Polymorphism and inference
 - Modules
 - Language oriented security
 - Persitent Engine,

Current prototype (MIT Licence) at www.cduce.org

</Be CDuce'd>