Lambda-calculus and programming language semantics

Thibaut Balabonski @ UPSay Winter 2023 https://www.lri.fr/~blsk/LambdaCalculus/

Chapter 4: semantics of an imperative programming language

1 An imperative language: IMP

An imperative language: IMP

Core of an imperative language, with:

- arithmetic and boolean expressions
- mutable variables
- instructions (assignment, condition, loop)

Endorses the same rôle as PCF, for imperative programming

Aexp: arithmetic expressionsInteger constants: n, mVariables: X, YArithmetic expressions: aA	N V ∧exp
a ::= n	
X	
$ X \\ a_1 + a_2 \\ a_1 - a_2 \\ a_1 \times a_2$	
$ $ $a_1 - a_2$	
$ $ $a_1 \times a_2$	
-	B Bexp
b ::= T	
$b ::= T$ $ F$ $ a_1 = a_2$ $ a_1 \leq a_2$	
$a_1 = a_2$	
$ a_1 \leq a_2$	
$egin{array}{ccc} & \neg b \ & \neg b \ & b_1 \lor b_2 \ & b_1 \land b_2 \end{array}$	
$h_1 \wedge h_2$	

Com: commands

Commands (instructions): *c*

$$c \quad ::= \quad \text{skip} \\ | \quad X := a \\ | \quad c_1 ; c_2 \\ | \quad \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \\ | \quad \text{while } b \text{ do } c \end{cases}$$

Com

2 Big step operational semantics

Operational semantics

Effects of expressions and commands, depending on a *state* of the memory States

- functions from variables to numbers
- if $\sigma \in \Sigma$, then $\sigma(X)$ is the value of the variable *X* in the state σ

Note: variables only have numeric values here (no boolean variables)

Big step semantics: relation between

- · expression or command
- state
- result

Semantics of arithmetic expressions

Evaluation relation

$$\langle a,\sigma\rangle \downarrow n$$

Inference rules

$$\overline{\langle n, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow n} \qquad \overline{\langle X, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma(X)}$$

$$\underline{\langle a_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow n_1} \qquad \langle a_2, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow n_2 \qquad n_1 + \mathbb{N} n_2 = n_2$$

$$\overline{\langle a_1 + a_2, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow n}$$

Other binary operations similar

Note: the semantics being defined by a relation, some cases can be undefined Here, there are no σ , n such that $\langle 1 - 2, \sigma \rangle \downarrow n$

Semantics of boolean expressions

Evaluation relation

$$\langle b, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow b$$

Inference rules

$$\overline{\langle \mathsf{T}, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \mathsf{T}} \qquad \overline{\langle \mathsf{F}, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \mathsf{F}}$$

$$\frac{\langle a_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow n_1 \quad \langle a_2, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow n_2}{\langle a_1 \leq a_2, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow b}$$

where *b* is T if n_1 less than or equal to n_2 and F otherwise

Semantics of instructions

The relation

$$\langle c, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'$$

means that

- in state σ , the command *c* terminates
- after the execution we reach the state c^\prime

At the beginning, we assume an initial state σ_0 such that

$$\forall X, \ \sigma_0(X) = 0$$

2

$$\Sigma = \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{N}$$

State evoluation

Execution

$$\langle X := X + 1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'$$

 σ' is the state such that

• $\sigma'(X)$ is $1 + \sigma(X)$ • for all $Y \neq X$, $\sigma'(Y) = \sigma(Y)$ Notation $\sigma\{X \leftarrow n\}$ $\sigma\{X \leftarrow n\}(X) = n$ $\sigma\{X \leftarrow n\}(Y) = \sigma(Y)$ si $Y \neq X$ Then $\langle X := X + 1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma\{X \leftarrow \sigma(X) +_{N} 1\}$

Rules for instructions

Empty command

$$\langle \mathsf{skip}, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma$$

Variable assignment

$$\frac{\langle a, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow n}{\langle X \coloneqq a, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma \{ X \leftarrow n \}}$$

Sequential composition

$$\frac{\langle c_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \sigma'' \quad \langle c_2,\sigma''\rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}{\langle c_1\,;\,c_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}$$

Conditional instruction

$$\frac{\langle b,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \mathsf{T} \quad \langle c_1,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \sigma'} \qquad \qquad \frac{\langle b,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \mathsf{F} \quad \langle c_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}$$

Rules for instructions: loop

When the condition is false, nothing happens

$$\frac{\langle b,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \mathsf{F}}{\langle \mathsf{while } b \mathsf{ do } c,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \sigma}$$

When the condition is true, we execute the body of the loop, and then execute the whole loop again

$$\frac{\langle b,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \mathsf{T} \quad \langle c,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \sigma'' \quad \langle \mathsf{while} \ b \ \mathsf{do} \ c,\sigma''\rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}{\langle \mathsf{while} \ b \ \mathsf{do} \ c,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}$$

Derivation is possible when the execution is finite

3 Denotational semantics

Denotational semantics

We want to characterize the function realized by a program We are interested in (computable) functions rather than algorithms We consider as *equivalent* two programs defining the same mathematical function

Denotational semantics for IMP

Base functions

- $\mathcal{A}\llbracket a \rrbracket : \Sigma \to \mathbb{N}$
- $\mathcal{B}\llbracket b \rrbracket : \Sigma \to \mathbb{B}$
- $C[[c]] : \Sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma$

In other words

- $\mathcal{A}\llbracket.\rrbracket$: Aexp $\to \Sigma \to \mathbb{N}$
- $\mathcal{B}[\![.]\!]$: Bexp $\rightarrow \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$
- $\mathcal{C}\llbracket.\rrbracket$: Com $\rightarrow \Sigma \rightharpoonup \Sigma$

Denotation of Aexp's

$$\mathcal{A}[\![n]\!]_{\sigma} = n$$

$$\mathcal{A}[\![X]\!]_{\sigma} = \sigma(X)$$

$$\mathcal{A}[\![a_1 + a_2]\!]_{\sigma} = \mathcal{A}[\![a_1]\!]_{\sigma} +_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}[\![a_2]\!]_{\sigma}$$

In other words

$$\mathcal{A}\llbracket n \rrbracket = \sigma \mapsto n$$

$$\mathcal{A}\llbracket X \rrbracket = \sigma \mapsto \sigma(X)$$

$$\mathcal{A}\llbracket a_1 + a_2 \rrbracket = \sigma \mapsto \mathcal{A}\llbracket a_1 \rrbracket_{\sigma} +_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}\llbracket a_2 \rrbracket_{\sigma}$$

Denotation of Bexp's

$$\mathcal{B}\llbracket \mathsf{T} \rrbracket = \sigma \mapsto \mathsf{T}$$
$$\mathcal{B}\llbracket a_1 = a_2 \rrbracket = \sigma \mapsto \mathcal{A}\llbracket a_1 \rrbracket_{\sigma} =_{\mathsf{N}} \mathcal{A}\llbracket a_2 \rrbracket_{\sigma}$$
$$\mathcal{B}\llbracket b_1 \land b_2 \rrbracket = \sigma \mapsto \mathcal{B}\llbracket b_1 \rrbracket_{\sigma} \land_{\mathsf{B}} \mathcal{B}\llbracket b_2 \rrbracket_{\sigma}$$

Denotation of instructions

$$C[\![\operatorname{skip}]\!] = \sigma \mapsto \sigma$$

$$C[\![X := a]\!] = \sigma \mapsto \sigma\{X \leftarrow \mathcal{A}[\![a]\!]_{\sigma}\}$$

$$C[\![c_1; c_2]\!] = C[\![c_2]\!] \circ C[\![c_1]\!]$$

$$C[\![\operatorname{if} b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2]\!]_{\sigma} = \begin{cases} C[\![c_1]\!]_{\sigma} & \operatorname{si} \mathcal{B}[\![b]\!]_{\sigma} \\ C[\![c_2]\!]_{\sigma} & \operatorname{si} \neg \mathcal{B}[\![b]\!]_{\sigma} \end{cases}$$

Loop

We are looking for a denotation of

while
$$b \operatorname{do} c \quad (= w)$$

Remark: C[w] and C[c] are partial functions $\Sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma$ satisfying

$$C\llbracket w \rrbracket_{\sigma} = \begin{cases} (C\llbracket w \rrbracket \circ C\llbracket c \rrbracket)(\sigma) & \text{if } \mathcal{B}\llbracket b \rrbracket_{\sigma} \\ \sigma & \text{if } \neg \mathcal{B}\llbracket b \rrbracket_{\sigma} \end{cases}$$

We are looking for a *fixpoint*

4 **Fixpoints**

McCarthy's 91 function

Is this function actually defined?

let rec f x =
 if x > 100
 then x - 10
 else f(f(x+11))

- if x > 100, the result is x 10
- if $x \leq 100$, is there any result?

A priori: partial function, $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

An order on functions

Definition order

 $f \sqsubseteq g$

if

- if f defined on x then g defined on x
- for any *x* in the shared input domain, f(x) = g(x)

In other words:

 $g|_{\operatorname{dom}(f)} = f$

Directed set

Set $E \subseteq \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that if it contains two functions *f* and *g*, then it also contains a function *h* which:

- is more defined than f and than g
- coïncides with f and g

In other words:

 $\forall f, g \in E, \exists h \in E, f \sqsubseteq h \land g \sqsubseteq h$

Note: all functions in *E* are mutually consistent

Continuity

A *majorant* of *E* is an element *m* such that

$$\forall x \in E, x \sqsubseteq m$$

The *supremum* of *E* is the smallest majorant, if it exists Note: *if E* is a directed set, then sup(E) exists A function $f : E \rightarrow E$ is *continuous* if it preserves supremums sup(E)

$$f(\sup(E)) = \sup(f(E))$$

Exercise: a continuous function is monotone

Fixpoint

Consider a directed set *E*

- any subset of *E* has a supremum
- $sup(\emptyset)$ is the smallest element of *E*

Then any continuous function $f : E \rightarrow E$ admits the following has a fixpoint

$$\sup\{f^n(\perp) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Function 91 defined as a fixpoint

Consider the function $F : (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \to (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N})$ defined by

$$F(f) = x \mapsto \text{if } x > 100 \text{ then } x - 10 \text{ else } f(f(x+11))$$

F is continuous

- $f \mapsto (x \mapsto f(f(x + 11)))$ continuous with respect to f
- $f \mapsto (x \mapsto \text{if } b(x) \text{ then } G(f)(x) \text{ else } H(f)(x))$ continuous with respect to f if G and H are

F has a fixpoint Fix(F) such that F(Fix(F)) = Fix(F) This fixpoint of *F* can be defined starting from the partial function \perp which is undefined on every possible input

The denotation of while *b* do *c* defined as a fixpoint

For any functions $g : \Sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma$ and $h : \Sigma \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}$, consider the function $F_{g,h} : (\Sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma) \longrightarrow (\Sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma)$ defined by

$$F_{g,h}(f)(\sigma) = \begin{cases} (f \circ g)(\sigma) & \text{if } h(\sigma) \\ \sigma & \text{if } \neg h(\sigma) \end{cases}$$

 $F_{g,h}$ is continuous for \sqsubseteq

$$\sup_{f \in E} (F_{g,h}(f))(\sigma) = \begin{cases} (\sup_{f \in E} (f) \circ g)(\sigma) & \text{if } h(\sigma) \\ \sigma & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 $F_{g,h}$ has a fixpoint $Fix(F_{g,h})$, which is a partial function $\Sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma$

Analysis of *F*_{g,h}

Meaning of the iterates $F_{g,h}^k(\perp)$

- $F_{g,h}(\perp)$ is defined only on states σ such that $\neg h(\sigma)$, and then $F_{g,h}(\perp)(\sigma) = \sigma$
- $F_{\sigma,h}^{n+1}(\perp)$ is defined on states σ such that
 - g^{*i*}(σ) is defined for all *i* ≤ *n* + 1

-
$$h(g^i(\sigma))$$
 for $i \leq n$

$$\neg h(g^{n+1}(\sigma))$$

and then $F_{g,h}^{n+1}(\perp)(\sigma) = g^{n+1}(\sigma)$

The fixpoint of $F_{g,h}$ is thus defined for all σ such that there is *n* with

- $g^{i}(\sigma)$ defined and $h(g^{i}(\sigma))$ for i < n
- $g^n(\sigma)$ defined and $\neg h(g^n(\sigma))$

We thus define

$$C[[\text{while } b \text{ do } c]] = \operatorname{Fix}(F_{C[[c]], \mathcal{B}[[b]]})$$

 \bot

5 Soundness and completeness

Soundness of the operational semantics

Soundness: the values given by the operational semantics are correct with respect to the denotational semantics

Theorem

If $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'$ then $C \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\sigma} = \sigma'$

Proof by induction on the derivation of $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'$, with lemmas on the semantics of the expressions

Completeness of the operational semantics

Completeness: the operational semantics allows the derivation of all the values specified by the denotational semantics

Theorem

If $C[[c]]_{\sigma}$ is defined and is equal to σ' the one can derive $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'$

Proof by inducton on *c*, with lemmas on the semantics of expressions

6 Axiomatic semantics

Axiomatic semantics for IMP

Hoare triples

$$\{A\} \ c \ \{B\}$$

- *A*: precondition of *c*
- *B*: postcondition of partial correctness of *c*

Interpretation

If A is satisfied before execution of c and if the execution of c terminates, then B is satisfied after the execution of c

Rules for partial correctness

$$\frac{\vdash \{A\} \text{ skip } \{A\}}{\vdash \{B\{X \leftarrow a\}\} X := a \{B\}} \qquad \frac{\vdash \{A\} c_1 \{C\} \qquad \vdash \{C\} c_2 \{B\}}{\vdash \{A\} c_1 ; c_2 \{B\}}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \{b \land A\} c_1 \{B\} \qquad \vdash \{(\neg b) \land A\} c_2 \{B\}}{\vdash \{A\} \text{ if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \{B\}} \qquad \frac{\vdash \{b \land I\} c \{I\}}{\vdash \{I\} \text{ while } b \text{ do } c \{(\neg b) \land I\}}$$

$$\frac{A \Longrightarrow A' \qquad \vdash \{A'\} c \{B'\}}{\vdash \{A\} c \{B\}}$$

Meaning of an assertion

Notation

• $\sigma \models A : A$ is satisfied by the state σ

The triple $\{A\}$ *c* $\{B\}$ then means

$$\forall \sigma \in \Sigma, \ (\sigma \models A \land C \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\sigma} \text{ defini}) \implies C \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\sigma} \models B$$

Simplification: C[[c]] is extended as a total function returning the value \perp where it should not be defined. We define $\perp \models A$ for all assertion A. Then $\{A\} \in \{B\}$ means

 $\forall \sigma \in \Sigma, \ \sigma \models A \implies \mathcal{C}\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\sigma} \models B$

Definition of $\sigma \models A$ **: a semantics for assertions**

To keep the formalism compact, we use as assertions the boolean expressions of IMP

Extended assertions

We could add: quantifications and logical variables

- extend Aexp with special variables *i*
- extend Bexp with the assertions $\forall i.A$ and $\exists i.A$
- parameterize the semantics by a valuation ρ : $\mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathcal{A}\llbracket i \rrbracket_{\rho,\sigma} = \rho(i)$$

$$\begin{split} \sigma &\models_{\rho} \forall i.A & \text{ if } \sigma \models_{\rho\{i \leftarrow n\}} A \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \sigma &\models_{\rho} \exists i.A & \text{ if } \sigma \models_{\rho\{i \leftarrow n\}} A \text{ for at least one } n \in \mathbb{N} \end{split}$$

Properties of the semantics of assertions

Some results

- $\mathcal{B}[\![b]\!]_{\sigma} = \mathsf{T}$ if and only if $\sigma \models_{\rho} b$
- $\mathcal{B}[\![b]\!]_{\sigma} = \mathsf{F}$ if and only if $\sigma \nvDash_{\rho} b$
- $\mathcal{A}\llbracket a \rrbracket_{\rho\{i \leftarrow n\},\sigma} = \mathcal{A}\llbracket a\{i \leftarrow n\} \rrbracket_{\rho,\sigma}$

(by induction)

Validity of a Hoare triple

 $\models \{A\} \ c \ \{B\}$

if and only if for any valuation ρ and any state σ

$$\sigma \vDash_{\rho} A \implies C[[c]]_{\sigma} \vDash_{\rho} B$$

7 Soundness of the axiomatic semantics

Soundness of the axiomatic semantics

Theorem

If
$$\vdash \{A\} \ c \ \{B\}$$
 then $\models \{A\} \ c \ \{B\}$

By induction on the derivation of $\vdash \{A\} \in \{B\}$

Substitution lemmas

Arithmetic expressions

$$\mathcal{A}\llbracket a_1\{X \leftarrow a_2\}\rrbracket_{\rho,\sigma} = \mathcal{A}\llbracket a_1\rrbracket_{\rho,\sigma\{X \leftarrow \mathcal{A}\llbracket a_2\rrbracket_{\rho,\sigma}\}}$$

Boolean expressions

$$\sigma \vDash_{\rho} B\{X \leftarrow a\} \iff \sigma\{X \leftarrow \mathcal{A}\llbracket a \rrbracket_{\sigma}\} \vDash_{\rho} B$$

Proof of soundness \vdash {*A*} *c* {*B*} $\Longrightarrow \models$ {*A*} *c* {*B*} By induction on the derivation of \vdash {*A*} *c* {*B*}

- Case $\vdash \{A\}$ skip $\{A\}$ Since $C[[skip]]_{\sigma} = \sigma$ we have $\sigma \models_{\rho} A \implies C[[skip]]_{\sigma} \models_{\rho} A$ and then $\models \{A\}$ skip $\{A\}$
- Case $\vdash \{B\{X \leftarrow a\}\} X := a \{B\}$ By substitution lemma we have $\sigma \models_{\rho} B\{X \leftarrow a\}$ if and only if $\sigma\{X \leftarrow \mathcal{A}[\![a]\!]_{\sigma}\} \models_{\rho} B$ Since $\sigma\{X \leftarrow \mathcal{A}[\![a]\!]_{\sigma}\} = C[\![X := a]\!]_{\sigma}$ we deduce $\sigma \models_{\rho} B\{X \leftarrow a\} \implies C[\![X := a]\!]_{\sigma} \models_{\rho} B$ and therefore $\models \{B\{X \leftarrow a\}\} X := a \{B\}$
- sequence
- conditional
- consequence
- Case $\vdash \{I\}$ while *b* do *c'* $\{I \land (\neg b)\}$ with $\vdash \{b \land I\}$ *c'* $\{I\}$ Induction hypothesis: $\models \{b \land I\}$ *c'* $\{I\}$ We show the following by recurrence over *n*:

$$P(n) \quad = \quad \forall \sigma \in \Sigma, \sigma \models_{\rho} I \implies F^{n}_{\mathcal{C}[[c']], \mathcal{B}[[b]]}(\bot)(\sigma) \models_{\rho} I \land (\neg b)$$

We deduce $\sigma \models_{\rho} I \implies C[[\text{while } b \text{ do } c']] \models_{\rho} I \land (\neg b) \text{ and } \models \{I\} \text{ while } b \text{ do } c' \{I \land (\neg b)\}$

Completeness of the axiomatic semantics

Theorem

If
$$\models \{A\} \ c \ \{B\}$$
 then $\vdash \{A\} \ c \ \{B\}$

The proof is based on the algorithm computing the weakest preconditions!