

AC-Unification of Higher-order Patterns

Alexandre Boudet
Evelyne Contejean

LRI Orsay France

Motivations

- * Higher-order unification is **undecidable**
- * Unification of higher-order patterns is **decidable**

- * Combination of algebraic and functional programming paradigms
- * Local confluence of HRSs



Unification of higher-order patterns
modulo equational theories

Patterns

Definition A pattern is

- * a term of the simply-typed λ -calculus in β -normal form
- * in which the arguments of a free variable are η -equivalent to distinct bound variables.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \left. \begin{array}{l}
 \lambda xyz.f(H(x,y), H(x,z)) \\
 \lambda x.F(\lambda z.x(z)) =_{\eta} \lambda x.F(x)
 \end{array} \right\} & \text{are patterns} \\
 \left. \begin{array}{l}
 \lambda xy.G(x, x, y) \\
 \lambda xy.H(F(x), y)
 \end{array} \right\} & \text{are not patterns}
 \end{array}$$

No equational theory, but α, β, η .

Theorem (Miller) The unifiability of patterns is decidable and if two patterns are unifiable, there is an algorithm computing a unique most general unifier.

Notation $\lambda \bar{x}.F(\bar{x}^{\pi})$ denotes $\lambda x_1 \dots \lambda x_n.F(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$, where π is a permutation over $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

AC-unification of Patterns

Definition Let $E = \{l_1 \simeq r_1, \dots, l_n \simeq r_n\}$ a set of *axioms* such that l_i and r_i of the same type, for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

The equational theory $=_E$ is the least congruence (compatible also with application and abstraction) containing all the instances of the axioms of E .

Definition An equation $s = t$ is a pair of patterns of the same type.

A unification problem is
$$\begin{cases} - & \top \\ - & \perp \\ - & P \equiv s_1 = t_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_n = t_n. \end{cases}$$

A substitution σ is an E -unifier of P if $\forall i, s_i =_{\beta\eta E} t_i$.

Theorem (Tannen) $\forall u, v \quad u =_{\beta\eta E} v \iff u \Downarrow_{\beta}^{\eta} =_E v \Downarrow_{\beta}^{\eta}.$

We consider the case where E is

$$\bigcup_{+ \in \text{AC}} \{x + y = y + x, x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z\}$$

The type of $+$ is $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$, where α is a base type.

Alien subterms and Purification

Definition $u = t|_{p.i}$ is an alien subterm of t

- * if $t(p) \in AC$ and $\text{Head}(u) \notin \mathcal{FV}$,
- * or $t(p) \in \mathcal{FC}$ and $\text{Head}(u) \in AC$.

VA

$\lambda\bar{x}.t[u]_p = \lambda\bar{x}.s \rightarrow \lambda\bar{x}.t[H(\bar{y})]_p = \lambda\bar{x}.s \wedge \lambda\bar{y}.H(\bar{y}) = \lambda\bar{y}.u$
 if u is an alien subterm of $t[u]_p$ at position p , and $\bar{y} = \mathcal{FV}(u) \cap \bar{x}$,
 where H is a new variable.

A unification problem in normal form wrt **VA** has the form

$$P \equiv P_F \wedge P_0 \wedge P_1 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n$$

- * P_0 is pure in the free theory, with no $\lambda\bar{x}.F(\bar{x}) = \lambda\bar{x}.F(\bar{x}^\pi)$.
- * P_F contains all the Flex-Flex equations $\lambda\bar{x}.F(\bar{x}) = \lambda\bar{x}.F(\bar{x}^\pi)$.
- * P_i is a pure unification problem in the AC theory of $+_i$, the arguments of $+_i$ being of the form $F(\bar{x})$ where F is a free variable.

Why Frozen Equations ?

Example (Qian & Wang)

$$\lambda xy \cdot F(x, y) = \lambda xy \cdot F(y, x)$$

has the solutions

$$\sigma_n = \{F \mapsto \lambda xy \cdot G(H_1(x, y) + H_1(y, x), \dots, H_n(x, y) + H_n(y, x))\}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In addition σ_{n+1} is strictly more general than σ_n (nullary theory).

Free: a subset of Nipkow's rules for pattern unification
Dec-free

$$\lambda \bar{x}.a(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \lambda \bar{x}.a(t_1, \dots, t_n) \wedge P_0 \rightarrow$$

$$\lambda \bar{x}.s_1 = \lambda \bar{x}.t_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \lambda \bar{x}.s_n = \lambda \bar{x}.t_n \wedge P_0$$

if a is a free constant symbol or a bound variable of \bar{x} .

FR-free

$$\lambda \bar{x}.F(\bar{y}) = \lambda \bar{x}.a(s_1, \dots, s_m) \wedge P_0 \rightarrow$$

$$\lambda \bar{y}.H_1(\bar{y}) = \lambda \bar{y}.s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \lambda \bar{y}.H_m(\bar{y}) = \lambda \bar{y}.s_m$$

$$\wedge P_0\{F \mapsto \lambda \bar{x}.a(H_1(\bar{y}), \dots, H_m(\bar{y}))\}$$

$$\wedge F = \lambda \bar{x}.a(H_1(\bar{y}), \dots, H_m(\bar{y}))\}$$

If F is a free variable, a a free constant and $F \notin \mathcal{FV}(s_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, where H_1, \dots, H_m are new variables.

FF \neq

$$\lambda \bar{x}.F(\bar{y}) = \lambda \bar{x}.G(\bar{z}) \wedge P_0 \rightarrow$$

$$P_0\{F \mapsto \lambda \bar{y}.H(\bar{v}), G \mapsto \lambda \bar{z}.H(\bar{v})\}$$

$$\wedge F = \lambda \bar{y}.H(\bar{v}) \wedge G = \lambda \bar{z}.H(\bar{v})$$

if F and G are different free variables where $\bar{v} = \bar{y} \cap \bar{z}$.

Fail1

$$\lambda \bar{x}.a(\bar{s}) = \lambda \bar{x}.b(\bar{t}) \rightarrow \perp$$

if a and b are constants or bound variables and $a \neq b$.

Fail2

$$\lambda \bar{x}.F(\bar{y}) = \lambda \bar{x}.a(\bar{s}) \rightarrow \perp$$

if F is free in \bar{s} or $a \in \bar{x} \setminus \bar{y}$.

Freeze

$P_F \wedge (\lambda \bar{x}. F(\bar{x}) = \lambda \bar{x}. F(\bar{x}^\pi) \wedge P_0) \wedge P_1 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n \rightarrow$
 $(\lambda \bar{x}. F(\bar{x}) = \lambda \bar{x}. F(\bar{x}^\pi) \wedge P_F) \wedge P_0 \wedge P_1 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n$
 if F is a free variable.

Normal Forms

A unification problem (with no alien subterms) in normal form wrt (**Free** + **Freeze**) has the form

$$P_F \wedge P_0 \wedge P_1 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n$$

where

- * P_F contains only $\lambda \bar{x}. F(\bar{x}) = \lambda \bar{x}. F(\bar{x}^\pi)$,
- * P_0 is solved,
- * P_i is a pure problem in the AC -theory of $+_i$.

Pure AC Patterns: An Example

From AC Problems to Diophantine Problems

$$\lambda xyz.2F(x, y, z) + F(y, z, x) = \lambda xyz.2G(x, y, z)$$

Let σ be a solution of the above equation:

* σ may introduce $t(x, y, z)$ such that $t(x, y, z) = t(y, z, x)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \lambda xyz.2F(x, y, z) + F(y, z, x) & = & \lambda xyz.2G(x, y, z) \\ \# \text{ of } t & 2\alpha & + \alpha & = & 2\beta \end{array}$$

(α, β) is a positive solution of $3n = 2m$.

* σ may introduce $t(x, y, z)$ such that $t(x, y, z) \neq t(y, z, x)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \lambda xyz.2F(x, y, z) + F(y, z, x) & = & \lambda xyz.2G(x, y, z) \\ \# \text{ of } t(x, y, z) & 2\alpha_1 & + \alpha_3 & = & 2\beta_1 \\ \# \text{ of } t(y, z, x) & 2\alpha_2 & + \alpha_1 & = & 2\beta_2 \\ \# \text{ of } t(z, x, y) & 2\alpha_3 & + \alpha_2 & = & 2\beta_3 \end{array}$$

$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ are positive solutions of the system

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 2n_1 + n_3 & = & 2m_1 \\ 2n_2 + n_1 & = & 2m_2 \\ 2n_3 + n_2 & = & 2m_3 \end{array}$$

Pure AC Patterns: An Example (Continued)

Recombination + Constraints

The set of minimal solutions of $3n = 2m$ is $\{(2, 3)\}$

The set of minimal solutions of

$$2n_1 + n_3 = 2m_1$$

$$2n_2 + n_1 = 2m_2$$

$$2n_3 + n_2 = 2m_3$$

is $\{(2, 0, 0, 2, 1, 0), (0, 2, 0, 0, 2, 1), (0, 0, 2, 1, 0, 2)\}$.

	F(x,y,z)			G(x,y,z)		
L_1	2			3		
L_2	2	0	0	2	1	0
L_3	0	2	0	0	2	1
L_4	0	0	2	1	0	2

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \\ x \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} z \\ x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \\ x \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} z \\ x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

Pure AC Patterns: An Example (Er)

A solution of the original problem is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} F \mapsto \lambda xyz. \quad 2L_1(x, y, z)\theta + 2L_2(x, y, z) + 2L_3(y, z, x) + 2L_4(x, y, z) \\ G \mapsto \lambda xyz. \quad 3L_1(x, y, z)\theta + 2L_2(x, y, z) + L_2(y, z, x) + 2L_3(y, z, x) + 2L_4(z, x, y) \end{array} \right\}$$

provided that $L_1(x, y, z)\theta = L_1(y, z, x)\theta = L_1(z, x, y)\theta$.

Pure AC Patterns: Another Example

$$\lambda xyz.G(x, y, z) = \lambda xyz.G(y, z, x) \wedge \\ \lambda xyz.2F(x, y, z) + F(y, z, x) = \lambda xyz.2G(x, y, z)$$

Let σ be a solution of the above problem:

σ may introduce $t(x, y, z)$ such that $t(x, y, z) \neq t(y, z, x)$.

$$\lambda xyz.2F(x, y, z) + F(y, z, x) = \lambda xyz.2G(x, y, z)$$

# of $t(x, y, z)$	$2\alpha_1$	$+\alpha_3$	$=$	$2\beta_1$
# of $t(y, z, x)$	$2\alpha_2$	$+\alpha_1$	$=$	$2\beta_2$
# of $t(z, x, y)$	$2\alpha_3$	$+\alpha_2$	$=$	$2\beta_3$
$\lambda xyz.G(x, y, z)$			$=$	$\lambda xyz.G(x, y, z)$
# of $t(x, y, z)$	β_1		$=$	β_3
# of $t(y, z, x)$	β_2		$=$	β_1
# of $t(z, x, y)$	β_3		$=$	β_2

$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ are positive solutions of the system

$$\begin{aligned} 2n_1 + n_3 &= 2m_1 \\ 2n_2 + n_1 &= 2m_2 \\ 2n_3 + n_2 &= 2m_3 \\ m_1 &= m_3 \\ m_2 &= m_1 \\ m_3 &= m_2 \end{aligned}$$

Pure AC Patterns: Another Example (End)

The set of minimal solutions of $3n = 2m$ is $\{(2, 3)\}$

The set of minimal solutions of P_{Dioph} is $\{(2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3)\}$.

$$P_{Dioph} \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} 2n_1 + n_3 & = & 2m_1 \\ 2n_2 + n_1 & = & 2m_2 \\ 2n_3 + n_2 & = & 2m_3 \\ m_1 & = & m_3 \\ m_2 & = & m_1 \\ m_3 & = & m_2 \end{array} \right.$$

	F(x,y,z)			G(x,y,z)		
L_1	2			3		
L_2	2	2	2	3	3	3

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y & z \\ y & z & x \\ z & x & y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z \\ y & z & x \\ z & x & y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z \\ y & z & x \\ z & x & y \end{pmatrix}$$

A solution of the original problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \{F \mapsto \lambda xyz. 2L_1(x, y, z)\theta + 2L_2(x, y, z) + 2L_2(y, z, x) + 2L_2(z, x, y), \\ G \mapsto \lambda xyz. 3L_1(x, y, z)\theta + 3L_2(x, y, z) + 3L_2(y, z, x) + 3L_2(z, x, y)\} \end{aligned}$$

provided that $L_1(x, y, z)\theta = L_1(y, z, x)\theta = L_1(z, x, y)\theta$.

Pure AC Patterns: General Case

$$E \equiv \lambda \bar{x} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} a_{i,\pi} F_i(\bar{x}^\pi) = \lambda \bar{x} \cdot \sum_{i=n_1+1}^{n_2} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} a_{i,\pi} F_i(\bar{x}^\pi)$$

Π is the group of permutations over all the variables of \bar{x} .

$$E_{Dioph}^{\Pi_0} \equiv \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n_2} \bigwedge_{(\pi_1, \pi_2) | \Pi_0 \circ \pi_1 = \Pi_0 \circ \pi_2} y_{i,\pi_1} = y_{i,\pi_2} \wedge \bigwedge_{\pi' \in \Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} a_{i,\pi^{-1} \circ \pi'} y_{i,\pi} = \sum_{i=n_1+1}^{n_2} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} a_{i,\pi^{-1} \circ \pi'} y_{i,\pi}$$

E_{Dioph} is the disjunction \bigvee_{Π_0} subgroup of Π $E_{Dioph}^{\Pi_0}$.

Proposition Let \mathcal{P} be any subset of the minimal solutions of P_{Dioph} , which is great enough. Then $\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a solution of E :

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{P}} = \{F_i \mapsto \lambda \bar{x} \cdot \sum_{\Pi_0} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{P}_{\Pi_0}} \sum_{\pi' \in \Pi/\Pi_0} m(i, \pi') L_m(\bar{x}^{\pi'})\}$$

where $L_m, m \in \mathcal{P}_{\Pi_0}$ is a new variable constrained by $\forall \pi \in \Pi_0 \quad L_m(\bar{x}^\pi) = L_m(\bar{x})$.

Proposition $\{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}} \mid \mathcal{P} \text{ is great enough}\}$ is a complete set of solutions for P .

Recombination (without the frozen part)

Solve

$$P_i \rightarrow P'_i$$

if P_i is not solved and P'_i is a solved form of P_i .

Variable-Replacement

$$F = \lambda \bar{x}. G(\bar{y}) \wedge P \rightarrow F = \lambda \bar{x}. G(\bar{y}) \wedge P\{F \mapsto \lambda \bar{x}. G(\bar{y})\}$$

if both F and G have a free occurrence in P .

Clash

$$F = s \wedge F = t \rightarrow \perp$$

if s and t have different constant heads.

Cycle

$$F_1 = t_1[F_2] \wedge F_2 = t_2[F_3] \cdots \wedge F_n = t_n[F_1] \rightarrow \perp$$

if there is a constant on the path between the head and

$F_{i+1(mod\ n)}$ in some t_i .

Recombination (frozen part)

Compatibility

$\lambda\bar{x}.F(\bar{x}) = \lambda\bar{x}.F(\bar{x}^\pi) \wedge F = \lambda\bar{x}.u(\bar{x}) \rightarrow F = \lambda\bar{x}.u(\bar{x})$
 if $\lambda\bar{x}.u(\bar{x}) =_{\eta\beta AC} \lambda\bar{x}.u(\bar{x}^\pi)$.

Incompatibility

$\lambda\bar{x}.F(\bar{x}) = \lambda\bar{x}.F(\bar{x}^\pi) \wedge F = \lambda\bar{x}.u(\bar{x}) \rightarrow \perp$
 if $\lambda\bar{x}.u(\bar{x})$ is ground and $\lambda\bar{x}.u(\bar{x}) \neq_{\eta\beta AC} \lambda\bar{x}.u(\bar{x}^\pi)$.

Propagate

$\lambda\bar{x}.F(\bar{x}) = \lambda\bar{x}.F(\bar{x}^\pi) \wedge F = \lambda\bar{x}.\gamma(t_1(\bar{x}), \dots, t_n(\bar{x})) \rightarrow$
 $\lambda\bar{x}.t_1(\bar{x}) = \lambda\bar{x}.t_1(\bar{x}^\pi) \wedge \dots \wedge \lambda\bar{x}.t_n(\bar{x}) = \lambda\bar{x}.t_n(\bar{x}^\pi)$
 if γ is not an AC constant.

Merge

$\lambda\bar{x}.F(\bar{x}) = \lambda\bar{x}.F(\bar{x}^\pi) \wedge F = \lambda(\bar{x}).t_1(\bar{x}) + \dots + t_n(\bar{x}) \rightarrow$
 $\lambda(\bar{x}).t_1(\bar{x}) + \dots + t_n(\bar{x}) = \lambda(\bar{x}).t_1(\bar{x}^\pi) + \dots + t_n(\bar{x}^\pi)$
 If $+$ is an AC constant.

Result

Theorem The following algorithm terminates and computes a DAG solved form for for unification of higher-order patterns modulo AC .

1. Apply as long as possible **VA**,
2. as long as possible do
 - (a) apply as long as possible the rules for recombination without frozen equations
 - (b) apply the rules for compatibility of frozen equations until a DAG solved form is obtained, or some pure subproblem is made unsolved by **Merge**.

Conclusion and Further Works

We have a unification algorithm for higher-order patterns modulo AC .

Ongoing Work

Local confluence test for higher-order rewriting modulo AC (à la Jouannaud-Kirchner).

Future Work

Extensions to ACU , ACI , AG , BR , etc.