Input: pointing devices, input-output mappings, multi-touch and mid-air interaction

(complete set of slides)
Input devices vs. Finger-based input
Indirect vs. Direct pointing

**Indirect**: The position of the cursor is controlled by the device.

**Direct**: Fingers manipulate visual objects directly on the screen.
**Absolute vs. Relative pointing**

**Absolute:** 1-to-1 mapping between input and output space

**Relative:** Input controls the relative position of the cursor (always indirect)
Hovering mode

Tracking the position of the pointing device (e.g., the pen) or the finger from distance

Hover widgets  http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KRXfaZ8nqZM
Absolute pointing

Direct input
- Hovering feedback is not indispensable as there is a clear mapping between pen/fingers and the screen
- Main drawback: occlusion problems

Indirect input
- « Hovering » is indispensable: users must know the position of the cursor before starting drawing
Relative pointing

Common devices: mouse and touchpad

« Clutching » instead of « hovering » mode
- Lift the mouse or finger to « re-calibrate » movement
- Use of smaller input space to traverse a larger output space
How would you map the input space of the tablet to the output space of the wall?

Smarties: https://www.lri.fr/~chapuis/publications/CH14-smartiestk.mp4
Buxton’s 3-state model  (1990)

A. Two-state model for mouse
Buxton’s 3-state model (1990)

B. Two-state model for a touch tablet
Buxton’s 3-state model (1990)

C. Three-state model for a graphics tablet with stylus
Relative pointing: Mappings

**Position control**: maps human input to the position of the cursor (or object of interest)
   Examples: mouse, touchpad

**Rate (or velocity) control**: maps human input to the velocity of the cursor (or object of interest)
   Examples: joystick, trackpoint
Isotonic vs. Isometric devices

**Isotonic** (iso-tonic = equal tension/force): Absence of resistance, free movement
- Mouse, pen, human arms, etc.

**Isometric** (iso-metric = equal measure): Absence of movement, resistance as we press
Isotonic vs. Isometric devices

**Isotonic** (iso-tonic = equal tension/force): Absence of resistance, free movement
- Mouse, pen, human arms, etc.

**Isometric** (iso-metric = equal measure): Absence of movement, resistance as we press

**Elastic**: Resistance increases with movement
- Joystick, trackpoint
Elastic/Isometric devices

There is a neutral position

As we apply force, an opposing force develops

**Self-calibration**: If we free the device, the opposing force bring the device to its neutral position
General principles

Isotonic devices (e.g., mouse) most appropriate for position control

Elastic/isometric devices (e.g., joystick) most appropriate for rate (velocity) control
Mixed control (Casiez et al., 2007)

How can we increase the input space of a trackpad to reduce clutching: trackpad + trackpoint

RubberEdge http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kucTPG_zTik
Mixed control

The wrist as a mixed-control device (Tsandilas et al. 2013)
position control around the neutral wrist position
rate control near extremes angles

No need for clutching
Output resolution

Dots per Inch (DPI)

For screens where dots are pixels, we use the term Pixels per Inch (PPI)
Input resolution (isotonic devices)

Input resolution often measured in **counts per inch (CPI)**
- Also referred to as Dots per Inch (DPI)

A modern mouse: 400 to 10000 CPI
- Detection of displacements between 64µm and 2.54µm (about the size of a bacterium)
Input resolution (isotonic devices)

Input resolution often measured in counts per inch (CPI)
- Also referred to as Dots per Inch (DPI)

A modern mouse: 400 to 10000 CPI
- Detection of displacements between 64µm and 2.54µm (about the size of a bacterium)

« Useful » resolution: 200-400 CPI (Aceituno et al. 2013)
- Maximum resolution that users can benefit from
Control-Display (CD) gain

\[ CD_{\text{gain}} = \frac{V_{\text{pointer}}}{V_{\text{device}}} \]

- \( V_{\text{pointer}} \): velocity of cursor
- \( V_{\text{device}} \): velocity of input device
Control-Display (CD) gain

\[ CD_{gain} = \frac{V_{pointer}}{V_{device}} \]

- **V\_pointer**: velocity of cursor
- **V\_device**: velocity of input device

- **CD\_gain = 1**
  - When the mouse moves 1cm, the cursor also moves 1cm

- **CD\_gain < 1**
  - The cursor moves slower than the mouse: Better precision

- **CD\_gain > 1**
  - The cursor moves faster than the mouse: Faster, less clutching
Range of usable CD gains

from Casiez et al. (2008)
The CD gain is not constant but changes as a function of the speed of the device

- The faster I move the device, the faster the cursor (acceleration)
- Slow movements cause the CD gain to decrease: better precision
Acceleration functions

Also known as transfer functions

from Casiez and Roussel (2011)
Nancel et al. (2013) found that with a good acceleration function, users could be very accurate and fast acquiring targets on a large high-resolution display even when the available input space was very small.
Laser pointing – RayCasting

Main strength: Natural, as the device or hand points directly to the target

Drawback: Sensitive to hand tremor and tracking precision. Depending on the distance of the user, small hand movement can cause large displacements, inappropriate for accurate pointing from distance
Solutions

Relative Pointing + Clutching (Vogel & Balakrishan, 2005)
Solutions

Hybrid Control (Vogel & Balakrishan, 2005)

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j26JQxMhBog
Direct input

Strengths: The user interacts directly with the objects as in the real world

Drawbacks: Lower accuracy due to occlusion, parallax, limited input resolution of the human limbs
The parallax problem

Incorrect perception of where the target is
Occlusion problems

The finger covers the object of interest. Here, the letter under the users finger grows and moves upwards to reduce the problem.

Occlusion problems

Sliding Widgets (Moshovich, 2009)
Replacing push buttons by sliding ones to reduce ambiguity due to occlusion or parallax problems (crossing-based selection)

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Pw5nmLSYrvE
Hand occlusion
Occlusion-Aware Interfaces
(Vogel & Balakrishan, 2010)

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j-b9q4ZjLHo
Other clever solutions

PhantomPen (Lee et al, 2012)

[Image of PhantomPen diagram]

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r62wxK3Rma4
Other clever solutions

LucidTouch (Wigdor et al., 2007)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qbMQ7urAvuc

Interaction with small touch devices (Baudisch and Chu, 2009)
Multi-touch

Apple magic trackpad

iPad, iPhone, smartphones, tablets

Vertical public displays

Tabletops
The history of multitouch

For the long history of touch and multitouch, see Buxton’s overview page:

http://www.billbuxton.com/multitouchOverview.html
Touch points & degrees of freedom

**Degrees of freedom** = the number of parameters that may vary independently

Examples:

- One touch point can control the X and Y position of an object (2 degrees of freedom)
- Two touch points can control the X and Y position of an object, its rotation, and its scale (4 degrees of freedom)
We can control more degrees of freedom

1. By adding more touch points

2. By sensing parameters other than position
   - Pressing force of a finger
   - Moving speed or acceleration
   - Size of contact point

3. By adding new input modalities
   - e.g., tilting the device while touching
Detecting fingers

Capacitive touchscreens (e.g., tablets and smartphones) do not differentiate between different fingers: they only detect contact points.

Some vision-based systems (e.g., some tabletops) create a model of the whole hand, but their accuracy can be low.
Detecting fingers

Capacitive touchscreens (e.g., tablets and smartphones) do not differentiate between different fingers: they only detect contact points.

Some vision-based systems (e.g., some tabletops) create a model of the whole hand, but their accuracy can be low.

How would it be useful to differentiate between fingers?
Detection problems & feedback

Making detection visible to the user

Ripples (Microsoft Research, 2009)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BXLsdhoRXF4
Detection problems & feedback

Making detection visible to the user

Ripples (Microsoft Research, 2009)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BXLsdhoRXF4

Think about other technologies where detection can be problematic, e.g., motion sensing by Kinect
Multi-touch: Common gestures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gesture</th>
<th>Image</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Tap</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Single Tap" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double Tap</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Double Tap" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Press &amp; Hold</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Press &amp; Hold" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hold Timer</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Hold Timer" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swipe Up</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Swipe Up" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swipe Down</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Swipe Down" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x Tap</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="2x Tap" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x Double Tap</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="2x Double Tap" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x Press &amp; Hold</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="2x Press &amp; Hold" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x Hold Timer</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="2x Hold Timer" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swipe Left</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Swipe Left" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swipe Right</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Swipe Right" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x Swipe Up</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="2x Swipe Up" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x Swipe Down</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="2x Swipe Down" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x Swipe Left</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="2x Swipe Left" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x Swipe Right</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="2x Swipe Right" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3x Swipe Up</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="3x Swipe Up" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3x Swipe Down</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="3x Swipe Down" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3x Swipe Left</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="3x Swipe Left" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3x Swipe Right</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="3x Swipe Right" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spread</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Spread" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinch</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Pinch" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x Rotate Left</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="2x Rotate Left" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x Rotate Right</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="2x Rotate Right" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3x Rotate Left</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="3x Rotate Left" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3x Rotate Right</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="3x Rotate Right" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4x Swipe Left</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="4x Swipe Left" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4x Swipe Right</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="4x Swipe Right" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5x Spread</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="5x Spread" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5x Pinch</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="5x Pinch" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5x Rotate Left</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="5x Rotate Left" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5x Rotate Right</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="5x Rotate Right" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multi-finger interaction for multi-user tabletops

Wu and Balakrishnan, 2003

http://www.dgp.toronto.edu/research/tabletop/tabletop640x480.mpg
Gesture elicitation (Wobbrock et al., 2009)

- Asking target users to create their own gesture vocabulary
- Then, define gestures based on the identified common gesture patterns
Morris et al. (2010) found that people preferred gestures defined by larger groups of end-users than gestures defined by HCI researchers

- HCI researchers proposed physically and conceptually more complex gestures than end-users

The approach has been used by other researchers for defining gestures for a wide variety of input modalities: mid-air gestures, motion gestures, folding-paper gestures, etc.

Problem of « legacy » bias: Users are often biased by their previous exposure to commercial systems.
Beyond touch

Flexible displays

Transformable displays (Ramakers et al., 2014)
http://www.raframakers.net/wiki/Main/Paddle
There are many platform-dependent toolkits for capturing and handling touch events.

Example

The Android SDK (based on Java) provides listeners of simple multi-finger touch events (move, down, up) and common touch gestures (tap, double tap, long press, fling, scroll).
Programming for cross-platform interaction

How do we communicate events between different devices and different platforms?
Programming for cross-platform interaction

Protocols for communicating generic events

Open Sound Control: [http://opensoundcontrol.org/introduction-osc](http://opensoundcontrol.org/introduction-osc)


Protocol for communicating multitouch events

TUIO: [http://www.tuio.org](http://www.tuio.org)
Open Sound Control (OSC)

Initially developed for the communication between synthesizers, digital instruments, and musical software

Widely used by the music and HCI communities

Client/Server architecture, where the OSC server receives OSC messages from one or multiple OSC clients
- The server and the clients can be in different devices/platforms

Implementation for many platforms and programming languages, e.g., Java: http://www.illposed.com/software/javaosc.html
Based on OSC: Client/Server architecture where devices can send multitouch events to interested applications

Support for a wide range of multitouch devices and platforms: http://www.tuio.org/?software