UNIVERSITÉ PARIS SUD

Comprendre le monde, construire l'avenir®

Groupware and Collaborative Interaction

Collaborative Virtual Environments

M2 Interaction - M2 HCID / Univ. Paris-Sud / 2018-2019

Cédric Fleury (cedric.fleury@lri.fr)

Outline

Interaction in Virtual Reality

Collaboration in Virtual Reality

- Co-located collaboration
- Remote collaboration

Outline

Interaction in Virtual Reality

Collaboration in Virtual Reality

- Co-located collaboration
- Remote collaboration

Virtual Reality

Virtual environment (VE)

- 3D virtual world
- Simulated by computers

Interaction in real time

- Trough various material devices

Immersion

- Multi-sensorial perception of the VE

Virtual Reality

Action/perception loop



Applications of Virtual Reality

Scientific data analysis

Industrial applications

- Design, conception
- Fabrication process

Training, education

Phobia therapy, rehabilitation

Entertainments

- Video games
- Virtual visits of museums
- Social communication (telepresence)



Interaction

3 kinds of interaction techniques [Hand, 1997]

- Object manipulation (interaction)
- Viewpoint manipulation (navigation)
- Application Control

[Bowman et al., 2004]

Bowman D. A., Kruijff E., LaViola J. J. et Poupyrev I. (2004). 3D User Interfaces : Theory and Practice. Addison Wesley.

Navigation

Move the viewpoint + Modify the scale [Hand, 1997]

Manipulate its own viewpoint

or

Manipulate the viewpoint of the others

Include path finding [Bowman et al., 2004]

Navigation

Egocentric techniques

- Walking metaphor
- Flying metaphor
- Driving metaphor



Navigation

Exocentric techniques

- Navidget [Hachet et al., 2008]
- Grabbing the air [Mapes et Moshell, 1995]

Navigation

Navidget [Hachet et al., 2008]

Navigation



Grabbing the air [Mapes et Moshell, 1995]

Navigation

Assisted navigation techniques

Select the destination

- Pointing
- World In Miniature (WIM) [Stoakley et al., 1995]
- List of defined path



[Stoakley et al., 1995]

Move to destination

- Teleportation [Ruddle et al., 2000]
- Interpolation [Mackinlay et al., 1990]
- “Guided visit” metaphor [Elmqvist et al., 2007]

Navigation



World In Miniature (WIM) [Stoakley et al., 1995]

Navigation

- Multi-scale techniques
  - Manuel scale modification
    - An additional DoF
    - Head-butt Zoom [Mine et al., 1997]

  - Automatic scale modification
    - Bounding Boxes [Kopper et al., 2006]
    - Scaled-world grab [Mine et al., 1997]





Object Manipulation

Egocentric vs Exocentric (Scaled-world grab or WIM)

2 main tasks

- Selection
- Manipulation



Object Manipulation

Virtual Hand [Jacoby et al., 1994]

Select and manipulate by touching

"Go-Go" metaphor
[Poupyrev et al., 1996]

Extend the virtual hand

Move exponentially



3D cursor [Zhai et al., 1994]

Position or speed control



Object Manipulation

Virtual Ray [Mine, 1995]

Mimic a laser pointer



Image plan Interaction [Pierce et al., 1997]

Select by pointing with one part of the body



Object Manipulation



Virtual Ray [Mine, 1995]

Object Manipulation



Image plan Interaction [Pierce et al., 1997]

Object Manipulation

Selection techniques not always suitable for manipulation

Ex: virtual ray for rotations

Combining several interaction tools

HOMER [Bowman et Hodges, 1997]

Move the manipulated object close to the user

Attached the interaction tools to the objects



Multi-object manipulation



Application Control

Control

- Application (exit, pause,…)
- Rendering parameters
- Tools/actions selection



[CDS – Bowman et al., 1991]

[Coquillart et al., 1999]

[CHIMP – Mine et al., 1997]

Techniques used

- 2D menus
- 3D menus
- Control on a tablet/smartphone

Applications of Virtual Reality

Scientific data analysis

Industrial applications

- Design, conception
- Fabrication process

Training, education

Phobia therapy, rehabilitation

Entertainments

- Video games
- Virtual visits of museums
- Social communication (telepresence)



Outline

Interaction in Virtual Reality

Collaboration in Virtual Reality

- Co-located collaboration
- Remote collaboration

Collaboration in Virtual Reality

Several users work/play together in a VE

- Co-expertise of 3D data
- Complex manipulation (real or virtual)
- Training
- Social presence (telepresence)

Co-located collaboration



Remote Collaboration



Outline

Introduction to Virtual Reality

Collaboration in Virtual Reality

- Co-located collaboration
- Remote collaboration

Outline

Introduction to Virtual Reality

Collaboration in Virtual Reality

- Co-located collaboration
- Remote collaboration

Multi-stereoscopic display

Integrate several users in the same devices

Multi-stereoscopic display

Outline

Introduction to Virtual Reality

Collaboration in Virtual Reality

- Co-located collaboration
  - Navigation
  - Co-manipulation
- Remote collaboration

Outline

Introduction to Virtual Reality

Collaboration in Virtual Reality

- Co-located collaboration
  - Navigation
  - Co-manipulation
- Remote collaboration

Navigation with multiple users



Co-habitation in a CAVE
[Chen et al., 2015]

Problems arise when several users are co-located in a CAVE

Collisions

Occlusion



Consistent

VS

Inconsistent situations



Co-habitation in a CAVE
[Chen et al., 2015]



Outline

Introduction to Virtual Reality

Collaboration in Virtual Reality

- Co-located collaboration
  - Navigation
  - Co-manipulation
- Remote collaboration

Co-located manipulation
[Aguerreche et al., 2010]



Co-located manipulation



Even if users cannot see the others,

haptic feedback is still important

[Salzmann et al., 2009]

Co-located VS remote

Limits between co-located and remote collaboration are not clearly defined

- 2 users in a CAVE?
- 2 users with HMDs in the same room?
- 2 users with HMDs in the different room?
- 2 users with HMDs et headsets in the same room?

Unwanted collaboration

[Cheng et al., UIST 2017]

Outline

Introduction to Virtual Reality

Collaboration in Virtual Reality

- Co-located collaboration
- Remote collaboration Awareness

Remote collaboration in VR



[Fleury et al., VRST 2012]

Social presence

- Simplified Avatars
- Shared virtual environnement
- Collaborative interaction

Immersive VR Telepresence

[Facebook Social VR Demo - Oculus Connect 2016]

Social presence

- Animated avatars
- Shared virtual environnement
- Collaborative interaction
- Video facilites

Immersive Group-to-Group Telepresence

[Beck et al., IEEE VR 2013]

Social presence

- Real 3D video integration
- Shared virtual environnement
- Collaborative interaction
- Specific tools for collaboration

Outline

- Introduction to Virtual Reality
- Collaboration in Virtual Reality
  - Co-located collaboration
  - Remote collaboration
    - Awareness
    - Communication
    - Collaborative Interaction
      - Navigation
      - Co-manipulation

Outline

- Introduction to Virtual Reality
- Collaboration in Virtual Reality
  - Co-located collaboration
  - Remote collaboration
    - Awareness
    - Communication
    - Collaborative Interaction
      - Navigation
      - Co-manipulation

Awareness

Perception of the other users

- Where are they?

- What are they doing?
  - What are they looking?
  - Are they looking at me?

- What could they do ?
  - Can they see me?
  - Could they see what I am showing to them?
  - Could they do what I am asking them to do?

Awareness

Improve the mutual understanding

- Just next to me… But where are you?
- Just in front of me … But where are you looking at?
- Etc.

Multi-sensorial restitution

- Visual awareness
- Audio awareness
- Haptic awareness

Visual Awareness

Avatar: representation of users in the VE



[Fleury et al., 2012]



[DIVE, 1991]

[CALVIN, 1996]

[Fleury et al., 2008]

[Second Life, 2005]

[Fleury et al., 2013]

[Beeler et al., 2010]

Visual Awareness

Animation of the avatars

Kinect Avatar



Body tracking

Kinect Avatar

Telepresence in virtual reality

Animated virtual characters

Real 3D video integration

Video facilities



Visual Awareness

Use of a WIM [CALVIN, 1996]



Mortal's view



Deity's view

Visual Awareness

Multi-scale collaborative virtual environment



[Zhang et Furnas, 2002]

Audio Awareness

Spatialized voice restitution

Remote users' noises

- Give a lot of information
  - Where they are
  - What they are doing
    - Add some sounds to describe the actions
- Need to be spatialized sounds

Haptic Awareness

- Force feedback of the others
  - Direct
    - Touch the others through haptic devices
      - Virtual handshake
      - affective haptic
    - Can be asymmetrical
  - Indirect
    - Manipulate an object together
    - Feel the force apply by the other on the object



Awareness Model

Spatial Model of Interaction [Benford et al., 1994]

Compute which users can interact which others

Medium

A typical communication medium
Ex: audio, visual, haptic, etc.

Aura

Sub-space bounding the presence in a particular **Medium**

Interaction is possible between two users with colliding **Aura**



[Benford et al., 1994]

Awareness Model

Spatial Model of Interaction [Benford et al., 1994]

Aura determines potential interactions
(on a technical point of view)

Users are responsible for controlling interactions

Measure of awareness between two users

- Asymmetrical
- Dependent of the **Medium**
(i.e. different for each **Medium**)

Introduction of the **Focus** and **Nimbus**

Awareness Model

Spatial Model of Interaction [Benford et al., 1994]

Focus

Area where a user perceive the others

For each particular **Medium**

Nimbus

Area where the others can perceive a particular user

For each particular **Medium**

Different from the **focus**



Activities/Capabilities Perception



⇒ How can users understand what the others are doing?

⇒ How can they understand what the others can do?

Interaction Workspaces

- 3D space in the real world
  - Associated to a particular material device
  - Perceive or interact with the virtual world
  - Ex: visual, audio, haptic, physical displacement, etc.

- Why integrating these interaction workspaces?
  - Each user can have different interaction workspaces
  - Take into account workspaces for users' interaction
    - Adapt the interaction techniques
    - Capabilities perception

Examples of Interaction Workspaces

User's physical displacement workspace

- Magic Carpet in 3DM [Butterworth et al. 92]
- Magic Barrier Tape [Cirio et al. 09]



Magic Carpet



Magic Barrier Tape

Examples of Interaction Workspaces

Haptic interaction workspace

Bubble technique [Dominjon et al. 05]





Immersive Interactive Virtual Cabin

[Fleury et al., 2011]

Organizes and integrates interaction workspaces

Users can carry them on the VE

Based on a structured hierarchy



Activities Perception

What is the user seeing?

What is the user doing?

[Fraser et al., 1999]





[Fraser et al., 1999]



[Duval et al., 2008]

Capabilities Perception

Example for the user himself:

user’s displacement workspace

Capabilities Perception

Example for another user:

interaction workspace



Outline

- Introduction to Virtual Reality
- Collaboration in Virtual Reality
  - Co-located collaboration
  - Remote collaboration
    - Awareness
    - Communication
    - Collaborative Interaction
      - Navigation
      - Co-manipulation

Voice communication

Essential for collaborative application

- Compensate a bad perception of the VE [Hindmarsh et al., 1998]
- Share different point of view

However:

Voice communication induces also discontinuity in interaction

[Bowers et al., 1996]

⇒ Users need specific tools for communication

Tools for communication

Virtual Ray

- Laser pointer metaphor
- Easy and intuitive manipulation



[Simon, 2005]



[Schild et al., 2009]

Tools for communication

Annotations

- Sketching, text, audio, videos
- Especially relevant for scientific data analysis
- Synchronous and asynchronous collaboration



[Schild et al., 2009]

Tools for communication



Photoportals

- Shared 2D or 3D views
- Annotations
- Interaction with the shared views

Photoportals
[Kunert et al., CSCW 2014]

Photoportals:
Shared References in Space and Time

André Kunert, Alexander Kulik, Stephan Beck, Bernd Fröhlich

Starring: Jan Beckmann, Anniek Vetter, André Kunert, Felix Trojan and Eik List
Voice: Ben Sassen
Production: Marcel Karnapke

3D model of Castle Vianden (Luxembourg)
Courtesy of ArcTron 3D GmbH (www.arctron.com)

Additional 3D Models from Blendswap Members:
Sizzler, Hjford, Michal David, Nicolas Damore, Ian57, Komtraya

CSCW 2014

Bauhaus-Universität Weimar
VR-Systems Group

Outline

- Introduction to Virtual Reality
- Collaboration in Virtual Reality
  - Co-located collaboration
  - Remote collaboration
    - Awareness
    - Communication
    - Collaborative Interaction
      - Navigation
      - Co-manipulation

Collaborative Navigation

Collaborative virtual environment

- WYSINWIS (What Your See Is Not What I see)
  - Each user can have its own viewpoint

- But, sometime users need:
  - To share the same viewpoint
  - To meet somewhere in the VE
  - To guide others in the VE
  - To follow each other

Collaborative Navigation

3 main modes of collaborative navigation

- Share the same point of view
  - One user drives, the other follows
- One move and the other follows with an offset
  - One user drives, the other can modify his offset
- World in Miniature
  - Guide the others through the WIM
  - Move the others through the WIM



[CALVIN, 1996]



Viewpoints sharing

[Duval et al., 2008]

Context: scientific data analysis

Users can:

- Save interesting viewpoints
- Select on particular viewpoint
- Travel cross of the saved viewpoints of a particular user





Group Navigation

[Dodds et Ruddle, 2008]

Users are part of a predefined group

Each user can travel independently

Functionalities help to travel with the group

- To follow the first member of the group
- To come back at the middle of the group (mean of member positions)



Collaborative teleportation

Guidance techniques

[Nguyen et al., 2013]

Context: collaborative navigation in a building

- User 1 is in an immersive room
  - Find several targets in the building
- User 2 is in front a desktop workstation
  - Guide the other user using a WIM
- Not verbal communication

Guidance techniques

[Nguyen et al., 2013]

Technique 1:

Draw arrows in the virtual environment



Guidance techniques

[Nguyen et al., 2013]

Technique 2:

Orient an arrow attached to the user (like a compass)



Guidance techniques

[Nguyen et al., 2013]

Technique 3:

Alight the path in the virtual environment



Guidance techniques

[Nguyen et al., 2013]



Outline

- Introduction to Virtual Reality
- Collaboration in Virtual Reality
  - Co-located collaboration
  - Remote collaboration
    - Awareness
    - Communication
    - Collaborative Interaction
      - Navigation
      - Co-manipulation

Co-manipulation

Several users manipulate a same virtual object

- Achieve a hard manipulation task in VE
- Mimic the same task than in the real world (training)

3 solutions

- Users can manipulate copies of the object
- Users manipulate different DoF of an object
- Users can manipulate the same DoF of an object

DoF: Degree of Freedom

- Usually 6 DoF (3 translations, 3 rotations) + the scale
- Some other parameters (color, shape, etc.)

Manipulate copies



Manipulate different DoF

Users use the same tools

- Ex: two virtual rays [Pinho et al., 2008]
  - Help with obstacles
  - Help when the depth is hard to perceive



User U1's view



User U2's view



Manipulate different DoF

Users use different tools

- Ex: a virtual ray and a virtual hand
  - Virtual ray manages positions
  - Virtual hand manages rotations

User studies show [Pinho et al., 2002]

- Faster, easier and more precise than single user manipulations



[Pinho et al., 2002]

Manipulate the same DoF

Manipulate together positions and orientations

Compute the mean of each user's actions

Use a physical engine [Noma et Miyasato, 1997]

Positions and orientations are the results of all the forces applied by the users

Add springs between users' hands and the object to avoid instability



Manipulate the same DoF

Holding together a virtual object

- Need at least 3 control points
- 3 hand manipulation technique [Aguerreche et al., 2009]
  - One user has 2 control points
  - The other has 1 control point
  - Co-located or remote collab. [Fleury et al., 2012]
  - Implemented with a prop (Reconfigurable tangible device) [Aguerreche et al., 2010]





Manipulate the same DoF

Provide feedback to users about their actions

- Force feedback with haptic devices
- Springs or rubber bands
- Curve virtual ray



[Aguerreche et al., 2009]



[Riege et al., 2006]



[Duval et Fenals, 2002]

Conclusion

Co-located VS remote collaboration

Remote settings

- Several solutions to represent users in a CVE
  - From realistic to simplified solutions
  - Activities/Capabilities perception
- Usually voice communication
  - But not so much tools to improve the communication
- Wide range of collaborative interaction
  - Navigation together or help the other to navigate
  - Move virtual objects together

Conclusion

Collaborative Virtual Environment (CVE)

- Feedback of what the others are doing is very important
  - Especially for co-manipulation

Applications of CVE

- Co-expertise, collaborative review or design
- Training (learn a collaborative task or learn with a remote teacher)
- Entertainment (video games, artistic performance, etc.)
- Social presence (telepresence)