

# Proof and certification for an accurate discriminant

S. Boldo   M. Daumas   W. Kahan   G. Melquiond

Proval, LRI, INRIA-UPSud  
LIRMM, UMII-CNRS  
Mathematics and EECS, UC Berkeley  
Arénaire, LIP, CNRS-ENSL-INRIA-UCBL

SCAN'2006: 12th GAMM - IMACS International Symposium on  
Scientific Computing, Computer Arithmetic and Validated Numerics

2006-09-29

# Introduction

William Kahan has proposed a way to accurately compute the roots of a **quadratic equation** with **floating-point** arithmetic.

<http://www.cs.berkeley.edu/~wkahan/Qdrtcs.pdf>

# Introduction

William Kahan has proposed a way to accurately compute the roots of a **quadratic equation** with **floating-point** arithmetic.

<http://www.cs.berkeley.edu/~wkahan/Qdrtcs.pdf>

Given  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$ , three floating-point numbers (precision  $t$ ), the roots of  $a \cdot x^2 + b \cdot x + c = 0$  are given by

$$\frac{-b + s \cdot \sqrt{D}}{a} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{c}{-b + s \cdot \sqrt{D}}$$

with  $D = b^2 - a \cdot c$  and  $s \in \{-1, +1\}$ .

# Introduction

William Kahan has proposed a way to accurately compute the roots of a **quadratic equation** with **floating-point** arithmetic.

<http://www.cs.berkeley.edu/~wkahan/Qdrtcs.pdf>

Given  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$ , three floating-point numbers (precision  $t$ ), the roots of  $a \cdot x^2 + b \cdot x + c = 0$  are given by

$$\frac{-b + s \cdot \sqrt{D}}{a} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{c}{-b + s \cdot \sqrt{D}}$$

with  $D = b^2 - a \cdot c$  and  $s \in \{-1, +1\}$ .

*The shortest proofs found so far for the correctness of the algorithms are, as usual for floating-point, far longer and trickier than the algorithms in question.*

# Requirement: an accurate discriminant

The tricky part lies in the computation of the **discriminant**  
 $D = b^2 - a \cdot c$ .

**Goal:** Assuming there is neither overflow nor underflow, compute a floating-point number  $d$  such that the **error**  $\delta = |d - D|$  is relatively small:  $\delta \leq 2 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$ .

# Requirement: an accurate discriminant

The tricky part lies in the computation of the **discriminant**  
 $D = b^2 - a \cdot c$ .

**Goal:** Assuming there is neither overflow nor underflow, compute a floating-point number  $d$  such that the **error**  $\delta = |d - D|$  is relatively small:  $\delta \leq 2 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$ .

**Difficulty:** When  $b \otimes b$  and  $a \otimes c$  are close, their subtraction is computed exactly. Hence the rounding errors from  $b \otimes b$  and  $a \otimes c$  are amplified.

# Discriminant algorithm

Originally some Matlab vector code. Here is a C version:

---

```
1 double discr(double a, double b, double c) {
2     double p, q, d;
3     p = b * b;
4     q = a * c;
5     d = p - q;
6     if (3 * fabs(d) < p + q) {
7         // slow path, d is not accurate enough
8         double dp, dq;
9         dp = fma(b, b, -p); //  $p + dp = b^2$ 
10        dq = fma(a, c, -q); //  $q + dq = a \cdot c$ 
11        d = (p - q) + (dp - dq);
12    }
13    return d;
14 }
```

---

# Execution paths

Two execution paths:

1.  $3 \otimes |d| \geq p \oplus q$  (fast path).
  - ▶ Returned value:  $d = p \ominus q$ .

# Execution paths

Two execution paths:

1.  $3 \otimes |d| \geq p \oplus q$  (fast path).
  - ▶ Returned value:  $d = p \ominus q$ .
  - ▶ Error bound:  $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}\text{ulp}(d) + \frac{1}{2}\text{ulp}(p) + \frac{1}{2}\text{ulp}(q)$ .

# Execution paths

Two execution paths:

1.  $3 \otimes |d| \geq p \oplus q$  (fast path).

- ▶ Returned value:  $d = p \ominus q$ .
- ▶ Error bound:  $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}\text{ulp}(d) + \frac{1}{2}\text{ulp}(p) + \frac{1}{2}\text{ulp}(q)$ .
- ▶ Proof goal:  $\text{ulp}(p) + \text{ulp}(q) \leq 3 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$ .

# Execution paths

Two execution paths:

1.  $3 \otimes |d| \geq p \oplus q$  (fast path).

- ▶ Returned value:  $d = p \ominus q$ .
- ▶ Error bound:  $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}\text{ulp}(d) + \frac{1}{2}\text{ulp}(p) + \frac{1}{2}\text{ulp}(q)$ .
- ▶ Proof goal:  $\text{ulp}(p) + \text{ulp}(q) \leq 3 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$ .

2.  $3 \otimes |d| < p \oplus q$  (slow path).

Assuming  $p \ominus q = p - q$ .

- ▶ Returned value:  $d = (p - q) \oplus (dp \ominus dq)$ .
- Mathematical value:  $D = (p - q) + (dp - dq)$ .

# Execution paths

Two execution paths:

1.  $3 \otimes |d| \geq p \oplus q$  (fast path).

- ▶ Returned value:  $d = p \ominus q$ .
- ▶ Error bound:  $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}\text{ulp}(d) + \frac{1}{2}\text{ulp}(p) + \frac{1}{2}\text{ulp}(q)$ .
- ▶ Proof goal:  $\text{ulp}(p) + \text{ulp}(q) \leq 3 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$ .

2.  $3 \otimes |d| < p \oplus q$  (slow path).

Assuming  $p \ominus q = p - q$ .

- ▶ Returned value:  $d = (p - q) \oplus (dp \ominus dq)$ .  
Mathematical value:  $D = (p - q) + (dp - dq)$ .
- ▶ Error bound:  $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}\text{ulp}(d) + \frac{1}{2}\text{ulp}(dp \ominus dq)$ .

# Execution paths

Two execution paths:

1.  $3 \otimes |d| \geq p \oplus q$  (fast path).

- ▶ Returned value:  $d = p \ominus q$ .
- ▶ Error bound:  $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}\text{ulp}(d) + \frac{1}{2}\text{ulp}(p) + \frac{1}{2}\text{ulp}(q)$ .
- ▶ Proof goal:  $\text{ulp}(p) + \text{ulp}(q) \leq 3 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$ .

2.  $3 \otimes |d| < p \oplus q$  (slow path).

Assuming  $p \ominus q = p - q$ .

- ▶ Returned value:  $d = (p - q) \oplus (dp \ominus dq)$ .  
Mathematical value:  $D = (p - q) + (dp - dq)$ .
- ▶ Error bound:  $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}\text{ulp}(d) + \frac{1}{2}\text{ulp}(dp \ominus dq)$ .
- ▶ Proof goal:  $\text{ulp}(dp \ominus dq) \leq 3 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$ .

# Motivation for a formal proof

The proof relies on numerous **case studies** and constantly goes forth and back between real arithmetic and floating-point arithmetic.

A formal certification guarantees no case was forgotten and each step of the proof is sound.

The **Coq proof assistant** was used with the formalization by Daumas, Rideau, and Théry.

# Formalization of floating-point arithmetic

A pair of integers  $(m, e)$  is associated to the real value  $m \cdot 2^e$ .  
This is a **representable** floating-point number if  $|m| < 2^t$  holds.

IEEE-754 specifies that the results of floating-point operations are the same as if they were first computed in **infinite precision** and then **rounded** to precision  $t$ .

# Execution paths

Let us suppose that the hypotheses for the execution paths are:

1.  $q \leq \frac{p}{2}$  or  $q \geq 2 \cdot p$  (fast path).
  - ▶ Equivalent to  $3 \cdot |p - q| \geq p + q$ .
  - ▶ Not equivalent to  $3 \otimes |d| \geq p \oplus q$ .

# Execution paths

Let us suppose that the hypotheses for the execution paths are:

1.  $q \leq \frac{p}{2}$  or  $q \geq 2 \cdot p$  (fast path).
  - ▶ Equivalent to  $3 \cdot |p - q| \geq p + q$ .
  - ▶ Not equivalent to  $3 \otimes |d| \geq p \oplus q$ .
2.  $\frac{p}{2} \leq q \leq 2 \cdot p$  (slow path).
  - ▶ The assumption  $p \ominus q = p - q$  now holds.
  - ▶ It implies  $3 \otimes |d| \leq p \oplus q$ .

The fast path:  $q \leq \frac{p}{2}$  or  $q \geq 2 \cdot p$

Returned value:  $d = p \ominus d$  with  $p = b \otimes b$  and  $q = a \otimes c$ .

Proof goal:  $\text{ulp}(p) + \text{ulp}(q) \leq 3 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$ .

Trivial case:  $q = 0$ ; assuming  $q \neq 0$ .

# The fast path: $q \leq \frac{p}{2}$ or $q \geq 2 \cdot p$

Returned value:  $d = p \ominus d$  with  $p = b \otimes b$  and  $q = a \otimes c$ .

Proof goal:  $\text{ulp}(p) + \text{ulp}(q) \leq 3 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$ .

Trivial case:  $q = 0$ ; assuming  $q \neq 0$ .

1. When  $q$  is negative,

- ▶  $d \geq p$  and  $d \geq |q|$ ,
- ▶  $\text{ulp}(p) + \text{ulp}(q) \leq 2 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$ .

# The fast path: $q \leq \frac{p}{2}$ or $q \geq 2 \cdot p$

Returned value:  $d = p \ominus d$  with  $p = b \otimes b$  and  $q = a \otimes c$ .

Proof goal:  $\text{ulp}(p) + \text{ulp}(q) \leq 3 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$ .

Trivial case:  $q = 0$ ; assuming  $q \neq 0$ .

1. When  $q$  is negative,
  - ▶  $d \geq p$  and  $d \geq |q|$ ,
  - ▶  $\text{ulp}(p) + \text{ulp}(q) \leq 2 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$ .
2. When  $q$  is positive and  $q \leq \frac{p}{2}$ ,
  - ▶  $p - q \geq \frac{p}{2} \geq q \geq 0$ ,
  - ▶  $\text{ulp}(d) \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{ulp}(p) \geq \text{ulp}(q)$ ,
  - ▶  $\text{ulp}(p) + \text{ulp}(q) \leq 3 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$ .

# The fast path: $q \leq \frac{p}{2}$ or $q \geq 2 \cdot p$

Returned value:  $d = p \ominus d$  with  $p = b \otimes b$  and  $q = a \otimes c$ .

Proof goal:  $\text{ulp}(p) + \text{ulp}(q) \leq 3 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$ .

Trivial case:  $q = 0$ ; assuming  $q \neq 0$ .

1. When  $q$  is negative,
  - ▶  $d \geq p$  and  $d \geq |q|$ ,
  - ▶  $\text{ulp}(p) + \text{ulp}(q) \leq 2 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$ .
2. When  $q$  is positive and  $q \leq \frac{p}{2}$ ,
  - ▶  $p - q \geq \frac{p}{2} \geq q \geq 0$ ,
  - ▶  $\text{ulp}(d) \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{ulp}(p) \geq \text{ulp}(q)$ ,
  - ▶  $\text{ulp}(p) + \text{ulp}(q) \leq 3 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$ .
3. When  $q$  is positive and  $q \geq 2 \cdot p$ ,
  - ▶  $q - p \geq \frac{q}{2} \geq p \geq 0$ ,
  - ▶  $\text{ulp}(d) \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{ulp}(q) \geq \text{ulp}(p)$ ,
  - ▶  $\text{ulp}(p) + \text{ulp}(q) \leq 3 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$ .

The slow path:  $\frac{p}{2} \leq q \leq 2 \cdot p$

Returned value:  $d = (p - q) \oplus (dp \ominus dq)$

with  $p + dp = b^2$  and  $q + dq = a \cdot c$ .

Trivial case:  $p = q$ ; assuming  $p \neq q$ .

# The slow path: $\frac{p}{2} \leq q \leq 2 \cdot p$

Returned value:  $d = (p - q) \oplus (dp \ominus dq)$

with  $p + dp = b^2$  and  $q + dq = a \cdot c$ .

Trivial case:  $p = q$ ; assuming  $p \neq q$ .

1. When  $dp \ominus dq = dp - dq$ ,

- ▶  $d = (p - q) \oplus (dp - dq)$ , so  $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ulp}(d)$ .
- ▶ Note: when  $\text{ulp}(p) = \text{ulp}(q)$ ,  $dp \ominus dq = dp - dq$ .

# The slow path: $\frac{p}{2} \leq q \leq 2 \cdot p$

Returned value:  $d = (p - q) \oplus (dp \ominus dq)$

with  $p + dp = b^2$  and  $q + dq = a \cdot c$ .

Trivial case:  $p = q$ ; assuming  $p \neq q$ .

1. When  $dp \ominus dq = dp - dq$ ,

▶  $d = (p - q) \oplus (dp - dq)$ , so  $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ulp}(d)$ .

▶ Note: when  $\text{ulp}(p) = \text{ulp}(q)$ ,  $dp \ominus dq = dp - dq$ .

2. When  $\text{ulp}(p) \neq \text{ulp}(q)$  and  $|p - q| \geq 3 \cdot \min(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q))$ .

3. When  $\text{ulp}(p) \neq \text{ulp}(q)$  and  $|p - q| < 3 \cdot \min(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q))$ .

# The slow path: $\frac{p}{2} \leq q \leq 2 \cdot p$

Returned value:  $d = (p - q) \oplus (dp \ominus dq)$   
 with  $p + dp = b^2$  and  $q + dq = a \cdot c$ .

Trivial case:  $p = q$ ; assuming  $p \neq q$ .

1. When  $dp \ominus dq = dp - dq$ ,
  - ▶  $d = (p - q) \oplus (dp - dq)$ , so  $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ulp}(d)$ .
  - ▶ Note: when  $\text{ulp}(p) = \text{ulp}(q)$ ,  $dp \ominus dq = dp - dq$ .
2. When  $\text{ulp}(p) \neq \text{ulp}(q)$  and  $|p - q| \geq 3 \cdot \min(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q))$ .
3. When  $\text{ulp}(p) \neq \text{ulp}(q)$  and  $|p - q| < 3 \cdot \min(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q))$ .

Why  $3 \cdot \min(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q))$ ?

- ▶  $\max(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q)) = 2 \cdot \min(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q))$ , since  $\frac{p}{2} \leq q \leq 2 \cdot p$ .
- ▶  $|dp - dq| \leq |dp| + |dq| \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ulp}(p) + \frac{1}{2} \text{ulp}(q) \leq \frac{3}{2} \min(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q))$ .

The slow path:  $\frac{p}{2} \leq q \leq 2 \cdot p$

Returned value:  $d = (p - q) \oplus (dp \ominus dq)$

with  $p + dp = b^2$  and  $q + dq = a \cdot c$ .

Proof goal:  $\text{ulp}(dp \ominus dq) \leq 3 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$ .

2. When  $\text{ulp}(p) \neq \text{ulp}(q)$  and  $|p - q| \geq 3 \cdot \min(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q))$ ,

- ▶  $|dp - dq| \leq \frac{3}{2} \min(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q)) \leq \frac{1}{2} |p - q|$ ,
- ▶  $|(p - q) + (dp \ominus dq)| \geq \frac{1}{2} |p - q| \geq |dp - dq|$ ,
- ▶  $\text{ulp}(d) \geq \text{ulp}(dp \ominus dq)$ .

# The slow path: $\frac{p}{2} \leq q \leq 2 \cdot p$

Returned value:  $d = (p - q) \oplus (dp \ominus dq)$

with  $p + dp = b^2$  and  $q + dq = a \cdot c$ .

Proof goal:  $\text{ulp}(dp \ominus dq) \leq 3 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$ .

2. When  $\text{ulp}(p) \neq \text{ulp}(q)$  and  $|p - q| \geq 3 \cdot \min(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q))$ ,
  - ▶  $|dp - dq| \leq \frac{3}{2} \min(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q)) \leq \frac{1}{2}|p - q|$ ,
  - ▶  $|(p - q) + (dp \ominus dq)| \geq \frac{1}{2}|p - q| \geq |dp - dq|$ ,
  - ▶  $\text{ulp}(d) \geq \text{ulp}(dp \ominus dq)$ .
  
3. When  $\text{ulp}(p) \neq \text{ulp}(q)$  and  $|p - q| < 3 \cdot \min(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q))$ ,
  - ▶  $|p - q|$  is a multiple of  $\min(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q))$ , so either  $2\times$  or  $1\times$ .
  - ▶  $p$  and  $q$  have to be close to a power of 2.

# The slow path: $\frac{p}{2} \leq q \leq 2 \cdot p$

Returned value:  $d = (p - q) \oplus (dp \ominus dq)$   
 with  $p + dp = b^2$  and  $q + dq = a \cdot c$ .

Proof goal:  $\text{ulp}(dp \ominus dq) \leq 3 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$ .

- When  $\text{ulp}(p) \neq \text{ulp}(q)$  and  $|p - q| \geq 3 \cdot \min(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q))$ ,
  - ▶  $|dp - dq| \leq \frac{3}{2} \min(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q)) \leq \frac{1}{2} |p - q|$ ,
  - ▶  $|(p - q) + (dp \ominus dq)| \geq \frac{1}{2} |p - q| \geq |dp - dq|$ ,
  - ▶  $\text{ulp}(d) \geq \text{ulp}(dp \ominus dq)$ .
- When  $\text{ulp}(p) \neq \text{ulp}(q)$  and  $|p - q| < 3 \cdot \min(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q))$ ,
  - ▶  $|p - q|$  is a multiple of  $\min(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q))$ , so either  $2\times$  or  $1\times$ .
  - ▶  $p$  and  $q$  have to be close to a power of 2.

Without any loss of generality, let us assume  $p = 1$  and  $p \geq q$ .  
 Only two cases:

- ▶  $p = 1$  and  $q = 1^- = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \text{ulp}(1)$ ,
- ▶  $p = 1$  and  $q = 1^{--} = 1 - \text{ulp}(1)$ .

The slow path:  $\frac{p}{2} \leq q \leq 2 \cdot p$

Returned value:  $d = (p - q) \oplus (dp \ominus dq)$

with  $p + dp = b^2$  and  $q + dq = a \cdot c$ .

Proof goal:  $\text{ulp}(dp \ominus dq) \leq 3 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$  or  $dp \ominus dq = dp - dq$ .

- When  $\text{ulp}(p) \neq \text{ulp}(q)$  and  $|p - q| < 3 \cdot \min(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q))$ ,  
(assuming  $p = 1$  and either  $q = 1^-$  or  $q = 1^{--}$ )



The slow path:  $\frac{p}{2} \leq q \leq 2 \cdot p$

Returned value:  $d = (p - q) \oplus (dp \ominus dq)$

with  $p + dp = b^2$  and  $q + dq = a \cdot c$ .

Proof goal:  $\text{ulp}(dp \ominus dq) \leq 3 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$  or  $dp \ominus dq = dp - dq$ .

3. When  $\text{ulp}(p) \neq \text{ulp}(q)$  and  $|p - q| < 3 \cdot \min(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q))$ ,  
(assuming  $p = 1$  and either  $q = 1^-$  or  $q = 1^{--}$ )

- 3.1 When  $dp$  and  $dq$  have the same sign,  $dp \ominus dq = dp - dq$ .  
(Both  $dp$  and  $dq$  are multiple of  $2^{-2t}$ , and  $|dp - dq| < 2^{-t}$ .)

# The slow path: $\frac{p}{2} \leq q \leq 2 \cdot p$

Returned value:  $d = (p - q) \oplus (dp \ominus dq)$

with  $p + dp = b^2$  and  $q + dq = a \cdot c$ .

Proof goal:  $\text{ulp}(dp \ominus dq) \leq 3 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$  or  $dp \ominus dq = dp - dq$ .

3. When  $\text{ulp}(p) \neq \text{ulp}(q)$  and  $|p - q| < 3 \cdot \min(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q))$ ,  
 (assuming  $p = 1$  and either  $q = 1^-$  or  $q = 1^{--}$ )

3.1 When  $dp$  and  $dq$  have the same sign,  $dp \ominus dq = dp - dq$ .  
 (Both  $dp$  and  $dq$  are multiple of  $2^{-2t}$ , and  $|dp - dq| < 2^{-t}$ .)

3.2 When  $dp$  and  $dq$  have opposite signs and  $dp - dq \geq 0$ ,  
 $d \geq p - q \leq 2^{-t}$  and  $dp - dq \leq 2^{-t} + 2^{-1-t}$ ,  
 so  $\text{ulp}(dp \ominus dq) \leq 2^{1-2t} \leq \text{ulp}(d)$ .

# The slow path: $\frac{p}{2} \leq q \leq 2 \cdot p$

Returned value:  $d = (p - q) \oplus (dp \ominus dq)$

with  $p + dp = b^2$  and  $q + dq = a \cdot c$ .

Proof goal:  $\text{ulp}(dp \ominus dq) \leq 3 \cdot \text{ulp}(d)$  or  $dp \ominus dq = dp - dq$ .

3. When  $\text{ulp}(p) \neq \text{ulp}(q)$  and  $|p - q| < 3 \cdot \min(\text{ulp}(p), \text{ulp}(q))$ ,  
(assuming  $p = 1$  and either  $q = 1^-$  or  $q = 1^{--}$ )
  - 3.1 When  $dp$  and  $dq$  have the same sign,  $dp \ominus dq = dp - dq$ .  
(Both  $dp$  and  $dq$  are multiple of  $2^{-2t}$ , and  $|dp - dq| < 2^{-t}$ .)
  - 3.2 When  $dp$  and  $dq$  have opposite signs and  $dp - dq \geq 0$ ,  
 $d \geq p - q \leq 2^{-t}$  and  $dp - dq \leq 2^{-t} + 2^{-1-t}$ ,  
so  $\text{ulp}(dp \ominus dq) \leq 2^{1-2t} \leq \text{ulp}(d)$ .
  - 3.3 When  $dp$  and  $dq$  have opposite signs and  $dp - dq \leq 0$ ,  
 $dp \ominus dq = dp - dq$ .  
(Either  $dp - dq = -2^{-t}$  or as in 3.1).

# What is missing?

The proof is not complete since the hypotheses do not match the conditional execution.

Two steps may be required:

1. Modify the algorithm: change the boolean expression to  $3 \otimes |d| \leq p \oplus q$  for the slow path.
2. Prove that the slow path still works correctly when both  $3 \cdot |p - q| > p + q$  and  $3 \otimes |p \ominus q| \leq p \oplus q$  hold.

# What is missing?

The proof is not complete since the hypotheses do not match the conditional execution.

Two steps may be required:

1. Modify the algorithm: change the boolean expression to  $3 \otimes |d| \leq p \oplus q$  for the slow path.
2. Prove that the slow path still works correctly when both  $3 \cdot |p - q| > p + q$  and  $3 \otimes |p \ominus q| \leq p \oplus q$  hold.

Two potential reasons why the slow path should still work:

- ▶  $p \ominus q = p - q$  when  $\frac{p}{2+2^{-t}} \leq q \leq (2 + 2^{-t}) \cdot p$ ,
- ▶ if the subtraction is not exact, the additional error-term is bounded by  $\text{ulp}(d)$ .

# Conclusion

- ▶ The paper proof is not meant to convince you: it has an educational purpose.

# Conclusion

- ▶ The paper proof is not meant to convince you: it has an educational purpose.
- ▶ The correctness is guaranteed by a **formal proof** that can be mechanically checked.

# Conclusion

- ▶ The paper proof is not meant to convince you: it has an educational purpose.
- ▶ The correctness is guaranteed by a **formal proof** that can be mechanically checked.
- ▶ Formal proofs are the only way to ensure that tricky arithmetic codes are **reliable**.

# Conclusion

- ▶ The paper proof is not meant to convince you: it has an educational purpose.
- ▶ The correctness is guaranteed by a **formal proof** that can be mechanically checked.
- ▶ Formal proofs are the only way to ensure that tricky arithmetic codes are **reliable**.

Future work:

- ▶ Complete the proof.

# Conclusion

- ▶ The paper proof is not meant to convince you: it has an educational purpose.
- ▶ The correctness is guaranteed by a **formal proof** that can be mechanically checked.
- ▶ Formal proofs are the only way to ensure that tricky arithmetic codes are **reliable**.

Future work:

- ▶ Complete the proof.
- ▶ Prove the modified algorithm ( $3 \rightarrow 1024$ ) when extra-precision is available for intermediate results.

# Questions?

Formal development: <http://lipforge.ens-lyon.fr/www/pff/>

Mail: [guillaume.melquiond@ens-lyon.fr](mailto:guillaume.melquiond@ens-lyon.fr)