# **Isabelle Tutorial:** System, HOL and Proofs

Burkhart Wolff

Université Paris-Sud

# The Isabelle System Framework

#### A Document Processor

- ... where documents have a unique name
- ... may acyclicly import documents
- ... and consists of an command sequence
- ... where new commands may be introduced on the fly (i.e. the system framework is extensible).
  - A session (a collection of documents organized in a hierachy) may be "frozen" to a session (or configuration)
  - A session is evaluated concurrently and asynchronisly on all what the "user sees", its jEdit editor is an IDE

Global View of a "session"



#### Global View



Document "positions" were evaluated to an implicit state, the theory context Θ



"semantic" evaluation as SML function

• Document "positions" were evaluated to an implicit state, the theory context  $\Theta$ 



Document "positions" were evaluated to an implicit state, the theory context Θ



• Example

theory D imports B C begin

section{\* First Section \*}

text{\* Some mathematical text: @{text \<alpha>}.\*}

```
ML fun fac x = if x = 0 then 1 else x*fac(x-1) *
```

```
ML{* fac 10 *}
end
```

• Example

theory D imports B C begin section{\* First Section \*} text{\* Some mathematical text: @{text \<alpha>}.\*} ML fun fac x = if x = 0 then 1 else x\*fac(x-1) \* ML{\* fac 10 \*} end

• Example



- Start Isabelle (via the PIDE jEdit)
- Browse "demol.thy"
- Commands:
  - text, section, subsection
  - ML
  - value
  - a browser for theorems: find\_theorems
- Capabilities:
  - hovering, jump-link,

● ● ● ●		Ma
edemo1.thy (~/u-psud/fortesse/pub/presentations/2014-14-9-isabelle-tutorial/bu_sol +	isabelle 🗘	
transcription, so \alpha is just equal to \ <alpha> but</alpha>	Filter:	
can also be written $lpha.$		•
	▼ demo]	D
Only in few cases one has to memorize. For them,	theory demol	Cur
ASCII - oriented shortcuts like $\Rightarrow$ can be given for =>.	<pre>v section{* My very first experiments *}</pre>	nen
*1	subsection{* Thesis *}	tatio
1	► subsection{* Apotheosis *} ▼ subsection{* "The Function" in SML *}	S
subsection {* Anotheosis *}	ML{* fun fac n = if n=0 then 1 else n * fac(n-:	Sid
	ML{* fac 50*}	leki
text{* It may be necessary to get used to the PIDE - Paradigm:	▶ subsection{* Using the code-generator to SML *}	¥
always checking whenever typing. After a while, however,		H.
one gets used to it. Don't forget to save from time to time !!! *}		orie
L		S
<pre>subsection{* "The Function" in SML *}</pre>		
<pre>ML {* fun fac n = if n=0 then 1 else n * fac(n-1) *}</pre>		
▼ ML{* fac 50*}		
·		
subsection {* Using the code-generator to SML *}		
value $"(2 \cdot nat) + 2"$		
Auto update Update Detach 100% 🔻		
val it =		
30414093201713378043612608166064768844377641568960512000000000000: int		
	subsection{* Using the code-generator to SML *}	
Find Output Sledgehammer Symbols		
31,12 (798/909)	(isabelle,sidekick,UTF-8-Isabelle)NmroUG 257/3331/AB 14:11	7







Parallel	OOO Example.thy (modified)	
Nano-Kernel	Example.thy (~/tmp/)	•
	theory Example	
LCF-Archi-	imports Main	
tecture	begin	
	<b>inductive</b> path <b>for</b> rel :: "'a $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ bool"	where
	base: "path rel x x"	
	step: "rel x y $\implies$ path rel y z $\implies$ path r	el x z"
in the	theorem example:	-
	<pre>fixes x z :: 'a assumes "path rel x z" sho</pre>	ws "P x z"
	using assms	
iEdit - GUI	proof induct	
JLuii - 001	<pre>case (base x)</pre>	
(PIDE)	show "P x x" by auto	
	next	-
	<pre>case (step x y z)</pre>	-
	note rel x y and path rel y z	
	moreover note P y z	
	ultimately show "P x Z" by auto	
	qea	
fine-grained,	end	N
asynchronous		×
parallelism		
	16,20 (318/422) (Isabelle,none,UTF-8-Isabelle)-	UGB8/554Mb 1:41 PM

(Isabelle2009-2)

• Example with definitions and proofs:

```
theory Test
imports Main (* = HOL Library *)
begin
```

definition H : "bool \<Rightarrow> bool \<Rightarrow> bool"
where "H x y == (x \<or> y) \<and> (x \<noteq> y)"

```
lemma <SomeName> : "A \<and> B \<longrightarrow> B"
<tactical proof or declarative proof>
done
```

• The jEdit - IDE will parse and print this to:

```
theory Test
imports Main (* = HOL Library *)
begin
```

```
definition H : "bool \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool"
where "H x y == (x v y) \land x \neq y"
```

```
lemma \langle SomeName \rangle: "A \land B \longrightarrow B"
\langle tactical proof or declarative proof \rangle
done
```

Use completion and tooltips !

# Revision: Pure Syntax (the syntax for "rule"formation)

• Example: The language "Pure":  

$$\Sigma_{Pure} = \{ (all, (\alpha \rightarrow Prop) \rightarrow Prop), (* !! *) \\ (\_ \Longrightarrow \_, Prop \rightarrow Prop \rightarrow Prop), (* ==> *) \\ (\_ \equiv \_, \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow Prop) \} (* == *)$$

• Note that we use schematic type variables to denote conceptually infinite signatures :

$$(\_ \equiv \_, \operatorname{Prop} \rightarrow \operatorname{Prop} \rightarrow \operatorname{Prop}), (\_ \equiv \_, \operatorname{bool} \rightarrow \operatorname{bool} \rightarrow \operatorname{Prop}),$$
  
 $(\_ \equiv \_, \operatorname{nat} \rightarrow \operatorname{nat} \rightarrow \operatorname{Prop}), \dots$ 

• Caveat: Isabelle uses  $\Rightarrow$  instead of  $\rightarrow$  in types, sorry for the confusion.

### Simple Proof Commands

• Simple (Backward) Proofs:

```
lemma <thmname> :
  [<contextelem><sup>+</sup> shows] ``<phi>"
  <proof>
```

There are different formats of proofs, we concentrate on the simplest one:

```
apply(<method<sub>1</sub>>) ... apply(<method<sub>n</sub>>) done
```

### Simple Proof Commands

• Simple (Backward) Proofs:

```
lemma <thmname> :
  [<contextelem><sup>+</sup> shows] ``<phi>"
  <proof>
```

example:

This type of proof evolves "bottom up" from the conclusion to the assumptions. apply(bla) done is syntactically equivalent to by bla.

### A Summary of Proof Methods

- The most elementary proof method is the rule <thmname> method.
   It is used for introduction rules. It proceeds in three phases:
  - lifting of <thmname> over the parameters
     of the current (first) goal (fiddling with quantifiers)
  - lifting of <thmname> over the assumptions of the current (first) goal (see pp. 25)
  - constructing an instance of <thmname> by unification; this means that the conclusion of <thmname> must finally match (modulo  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  red.) against the conclusion of the current (first) goal.
- The user can help this process by using the variant:
  - \_ rule\_tac <subst> in <thmname>
  - \_ ... where <*subst*> is of the form:

$$x_1 = "\varphi_1"$$
 and  $x_n = "\varphi_n$ 

and the xi are the variables of <thmname>

### A Summary of Proof Methods

- An important variant is erule <thmname> method.
  - It is used for elimination rules. It proceeds in three phases:
    - lifting of <thmname> over the assumptions of the current (first) goal (see pp. 25)
    - lifting of <thmname> over the parameters
       of the current (first) goal (fiddling with quantifiers)
  - constructing an instance of <thmname> by unification; this means that the conclusion of <thmname> must finally match (modulo  $\beta$ and  $\alpha$  red.) against the conclusion of the current (first) goal, moreover, the first premise of <thmname> must match (modulo  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  red.) against one of the assumptions of the current goal.
- The user can help this process by using the variant:

\_ erule\_tac <subst> in <thmname>

### A Summary of Proof Methods

• An important method the assumption method.

It is used for final situations, where the conclusion of a goal can be discharged by one of the assumptions.

It suffices that one of the assumptions match (modulo  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  red.) against the conclusion.

### At a Glance

- low-level methods (without substitution)
  - assumption (unifies conclusion vs. a premise)
  - subst <thmname>
     does one rewrite-step
     (by instantiating the HOL subst-rule)
  - rule[\_tac <subst> in] <thmname> PROLOG - like resolution step using HO-Unification
  - erule\_tac <subst> in] <thmname>
    elimination resolution (for ND elimination rules)
  - drule[\_tac <subst> in] <thmname>

destruction resolution (for ND destruction rules)